Christian Naggar et al v The Student Association at Durham College and UOIT

Jesse Cullen on Friday, March 18, 2016

neesons

141 Adelaide Street West, Floor 11
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 3L5

1.888.525.6666 | 416.413.7755

1	Court File No. 94577/16.
2	
3	ONTARIO
4	SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
5	
6	BETWEEN:
7	
8	CHRISTIAN NAGGAR, EMILIE HIBBS, JOSHUA HAVILAND,
9	CHRISTIAN BROWN, KATHLEEN HEPWORTH, ALEXANDRA BROWN
10	and KASSIA ALMEIDA
11	Applicants
12	- and -
13	
14	THE STUDENT ASSOCIATION AT DURHAM COLLEGE AND UIOT
15	Respondents
16	
17	This is the Cross-Examination of JESSE CULLEN,
18	upon his affidavit sworn March 10, 2016, taken at
19	the offices of Neeson Court Reporting Inc., 141
20	Adelaide Street West, Suite 1108, Toronto, Ontario,
21	M5H 3L5, on the 18th day of March 2016.
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	APPEARANCES:
2	Marty Moore, Esq., for the Applicants
3	
4	Andrea Sanche, Esq., for the Respondents
5	
6	Also Present: Christian Naggar
7	
8	REPORTED BY: Lorraine Fedosoff, Court Reporter
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	INDEX
2	
3	WITNESS: JESSE CULLEN, Affirmed
4	PAGE
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MOORE 5
6	
7	**The following list of undertakings, advisements
8	and refusals is meant as a guide only for the
9	assistance of counsel and no other purpose**
10	
11	INDEX OF UNDERTAKINGS
12	The questions/requests undertaken are noted by U/T
13	and appear on the following pages: None
14	
15	INDEX OF ADVISEMENTS
16	The questions/requests taken under advisement are
17	noted by U/A and appear on the following pages:
18	None
19	
20	INDEX OF REFUSALS
21	The questions/requests refused are noted by R/F
22	and appear on the following pages: 10:7, 11:8,
23	13:22, 21:3, 23:21, 29:23, 30:24, 33:15, 34:15,
24	41:8, 50:4, 65:7, 82:11, 94:3
25	



NUMBER/DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO. Marked)
(None	Marked)
(None	Marked)



1		upon commencing at 9:05 a.m.
2		JESSE CULLEN: Affirmed
3		CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MOORE:
4	1	Q. Good morning, Mr. Cullen.
5		A. Good morning.
6	2	Q. Could you state your name for the
7		record, please?
8		A. Yeah, it's Jesse Cullen,
9		J-E-S-S-E, C-U-L-L-E-N.
10	3	Q. Okay. And you have affirmed this
11		morning to tell the truth to the best of your
12		abilities?
13		A. I have.
14	4	Q. All right. And on March 10th you
15		swore an affidavit in this matter or affirmed an
16		affidavit in this matter, and at that time the
17		contents of that affidavit were true to the best of
18		your knowledge?
19		A. They were.
20	5	Q. So I'll be asking you some
21		questions on that affidavit today. I'll be
22		referring to Speak for the Weak, and you understand
23		what that means?
24		A. I do.
25	6	Q. And I'll also be referring to the

1 SA, which you understand will mean the Student 2 Association of Durham College and UIT [sic]? 3 Α. Yes. 7 4 0. Okay. Let's turn to your 5 affidavit at paragraph 7, and this paragraph 6 describes a relationship between UIT and Durham 7 College and the SA, and the third sentence in that 8 paragraph states: 9 "Neither Durham College nor UIT 10 has any control over the SA's 11 activities and internal dealings." 12 Did I read that correctly? 13 Α. Yes. 14 8 O. Okay. You are aware that the SA 15 has agreements with UIT and Durham College; 16 correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 9 And you're aware that the SA has Ο. 19 obligations under those agreements with the UIT and 20 Durham College? 21 Α. Yes. 10 22 And that the SA, if it wishes to Ο. 23 continue receiving the funding from Durham College 24 and UIT, needs to comply with those obligations? 25 Α. Yes.



1	11	Q. Okay. And I understand that the
2		SA consults with Durham College and UIT on various
3		matters as well?
4		A. Yes.
5	12	Q. And in regards to the particular
6		matter at issue, the SA consulted with both Durham
7		College and UIT concerning the application of Speak
8		for the Weak?
9		A. Yes.
10	13	Q. Did you consult personally there
11		with those representatives?
12		A. Yes.
13	14	Q. When did that happen?
14		A. That would have been shortly after
15		we received the escalation from the clubs and
16		societies department. So that would have been in
17		August, but I don't have the specific date offhand.
18	15	Q. Okay. And you met with a
19		representative of Durham College. Do you recall
20		the nature of that conversation, and what did you
21		ask them and what did they
22		A. There I believe there was
23		actually several folks in the room from Durham
24		College and UIT. It was in our boardroom at the
25		student center.

or Hector-Alexander, who's the diversity inclusion and transitions, I think, coordinator is her title
-- but I could be wrong -- was in the room; Meri
Kim Oliver was there, who's the VP of student
affairs; Olivia Petrie was there as well from the
UIT side for VP of student life, and I believe Brad
MacIsaac was there as well as the registrar for
UIT.

And sorry, do you -- can you please repeat the second half of that question?

- Q. No, that -- I appreciate that answer, yeah. The second half of the question, just asking what were you asking these individuals, who I understand now included both UIT and Durham College representatives in the same meeting; correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. So what did you ask them?
- A. It was less about asking and more about informing them that we had received this application and wanted to get their perspective on, you know, what the institutions allow in terms of, you know, their policies and procedures, and we're informing them that as the application, as we've



1		received it, our position was that we were seeking
2		not to ratify, but we wanted to ensure, as you've
3		mentioned it in our memorandum of understandings
4		with both schools and in the policies and
5		procedures of Durham College, that in making that
6		decision we weren't in violation of our agreements
7		with the institutions.
8	18	Q. Okay.
9		A. And they ensured us that our
10		decision was our decision and that we were not, in
11		fact, in violation.
12	19	Q. How long did that meeting last?
13		A. No more than 20 minutes, 15,
14		20 minutes.
15	20	Q. Okay. So Durham College assured
16		you that you were not in violation of any Durham
17		College policies in making a decision not to grant
18		the application of Speak for the Weak?
19		MS. SANCHE: Well, you're misstating
20		what the witness said
21		MR. MOORE: Oh, sorry.
22		MS. SANCHE: but he can agree or
23		disagree with your misstatement.
24		BY MR. MOORE:
25	21	Q. Did Durham College indicate to the



1		SA at this meeting that the SA was not would not
2		be violating any Durham College policies by not
3		granting the application of Speak for the Weak?
4		MS. SANCHE: He already answered that.
5		MR. MOORE: He answered that for both.
6		So I'm confirming it for
7		R/F MS. SANCHE: You don't need to confirm
8		it. He answered your question. Whether it was for
9		both or one in the answer, it was confirmed. He's
10		not going to repeat himself.
11		It's a refusal. If you believe the
12		record doesn't show that he answered it I
13		believe it does because I took notes then we can
14		deal with it on a motion.
15		MR. MOORE: Thank you, counsel.
16		BY MR. MOORE:
17	22	Q. Did Durham College indicate
18		whether they had any policies that applied to the
19		ratification process of a student group via SA?
20		A. No.
21	23	Q. Did UIT indicate that they had any
22		policies that applied?
23		A. No.
24	24	Q. If the SA refuses to recognize a
25		student club, that impacts the recognition that



1		a that the college or UIT will give that student
2		club as well; correct?
3		A. Sorry, can you repeat the
4		question?
5		MS. SANCHE: Well
6		BY MR. MOORE:
7	25	Q. Sure. Let me direct you to
8		R/F MS. SANCHE: it's a hypothetical,
9		and I'm not going to have you answer ifs or
10		BY MR. MOORE:
11	26	Q. Let me look at your affidavit
12		here. At paragraph 7 you state:
13		"For example, in its
14		recognition of student organizations
15		policy, Durham College confirms that
16		no organization has the right to
17		exist or continue to exist without
18		the SA's express recognition."
19		Did I read that correctly?
20		A. Yes.
21	27	Q. So do I understand correctly that
22		without the SA's express recognition, Durham
23		College recognizes that that organization doesn't
24		have the right to continue to exist without that
25		express recognition?



1 Α. Not exist as an SA club. 28 2 Ο. Okay. Or not exist as a 3 recognized student organization? Yeah, so a recognized student 4 5 organization is defined in Exhibit "D", which, 6 again, I don't have their policies memorized off by 7 hand, but they would recognize them as a student 8 organization as defined as by their policies, which 9 would be a student club or society. 29 The SA has enacted a lot of its 10 Ο. own policies governing student clubs; correct? 11 12 Α. Yes. 30 13 And how does the SA enact those Q. 14 policies? 15 So our by-laws dictate that the Α. 16 executive committee initiates policy, and those 17 policies are approved by the board of directors. 31 18 Okay. And in consulting, what Ο. 19 kind of consultation process do you go through in 20 determining whether your policies are appropriate for the SA to enact? 21 22 What kind of consultation process? 23 32 Yeah, does the SA executive just Q. 24 consult with itself, or what kind of resources or 25 quidance does the SA --



> Α. So the executive committee is made up of all elected representatives in addition to having our general manager and operations manager who sit on that committee.

So that committee as a whole is responsible for initiating that -- any policy. That consultation process could look any number of ways depending on the issue or the type of policy we were -- be -- would be to -- be initiating, right.

So the executive committee is able to call in, you know, other staff members within the Student Association for that consultation process, we're able to consult with stakeholders on campus, be it Durham College or UIT or other campus partners, and of course any research we may do on

18 33

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ο. Okay.

34

-- a particular issue.

What kind of documents and --Ο. yeah, documents do you consult in making policies? R/F MS. SANCHE: It's too broad a question, counsel, and I want it to relate to the litigation at issue. You can't ask him questions about their garbage policy. It's irrelevant.

1		MR. MOORE: No, no, we're talking about
2		
3		MS. SANCHE: You are not.
4		
_		MR. MOORE: This is relating to the
5		litigation.
6		MS. SANCHE: Your question is not
7		related to the litigation. If you ask a question
8		related to the litigation he will answer it, but
9		I'm warning you that the rest of the day will be
10		comprised of my objecting to questions that are
11		overly broad.
12		MR. MOORE: Okay.
13		MS. SANCHE: He doesn't have to answer
14		how their policies work generally. If you want to
15		ask about policies relating to this litigation that
16		makes sense to me, but the rest of it is just
17		MR. MOORE: I will
18		MS. SANCHE: broad, overly broad.
19		MR. MOORE: take that into
20		consideration when phrasing these questions,
21		counsel. Thank you.
22		MS. SANCHES: Thank you.
23		BY MR. MOORE:
24	35	Q. In regards to enacting policies
25		that govern the recognition of campus clubs, does

1 the SA consult with its own internal documents and what documents does it consult with in that case? 2 3 MS. SANCHE: This is again a general 4 question. Are there policies specifically that you 5 want him to discuss? Because you're talking about 6 general policies. If you can point out the 7 policies you're asking about? 8 I'm talking specifically MR. MOORE: 9 about policies relating to the recognition of 10 campus clubs. 11 MS. SANCHE: So which policies are 12 those? And we can discuss them and he can answer 13 questions on them. 14 BY MR. MOORE: 15 36 You have, I believe, listed in Ο. 16 your affidavit campus club policies. You have the 17 campus clubs procedure here listed at Exhibit "I". 18 Do you know what process was used in enacting this 19 policy? 20 Α. I was not on the executive at the 21 time of the enactment of this policy. 37 22 Are you familiar with what process Ο. 23 was used? 24 Α. I'm not. 25 38 Okay. Have you or your current O.



1		executive team put forward any policies that relate
2		to campus clubs?
3		A. No.
4	39	
	39	
5		A. No.
6	40	Q. Could I draw your attention to
7		what was marked as an exhibit in the affidavit
8		in the questioning of Reina Rexhmataj yesterday?
9		It's a draft minutes.
10		MS. SANCHE: Her Exhibit "A"?
11		MR. MOORE: Yeah, Exhibit "A".
12		MS. SANCHE: From the word is it
13		draft
14		MR. MOORE: Draft it's an agenda, I
15		believe.
16		MS. SANCHE: January 15, 2016?
17		MR. MOORE: Yes.
18		MS. SANCHE: Okay. And I don't have
19		another copy.
20		MR. MOORE: I actually have one
21		somewhere.
22		MS. SANCHE: Do you want to look at
23		this one to ask your questions?
24		MR. MOORE: Thank you.
25		BY MR. MOORE:



1	41	Q. One of the policies in this
2		agenda, which I understand was put forward on
3		January 15th, 2016, is a policy entitled "The
4		Feminist Framework Policy".
5		Did you and your executive team approve
6		that feminist framework policy to be submitted to
7		the board?
8		A. Yeah, it was discussed among the
9		executive team and then it was forwarded to the
10		board for approval. It was actually written in
11		consultation with our student rights and advocacy
12		coordinator at the time.
13	42	Q. But all the board members approved
14		of this policy to send it to the or all the
15		executive members had to approve of this policy in
16		order for it to be sent to the board?
17		A. There's no formal approval process
18		because it's about initiating policy. So the
19		approval process lies at the board of directors
20		level.
21	43	Q. Okay. So it was simply discussed
22		in a meeting, then, with all the executive members
23		who had the opportunity to give input into this?
24		A. Absolutely.
25	44	Q. And you had the opportunity to



1		give input into
2		A. Yes.
3	45	Q this policy? In your role in
4		the executive did you consult with any documents of
5		the SA in considering this policy or putting
6		giving input into this policy?
7		A. Could you be more specific?
8	46	Q. Did you consult with your SA
9		by-laws?
10		A. Yes.
11	47	Q. Did you consult with your SA
12		letters patent?
13		A. Yes.
14	48	Q. Did you consult with UIT
15		documents?
16		A. No.
17	49	Q. Did you consult with Durham
18		College documents?
19		A. No.
20	50	Q. Do you know if anyone else on the
21		SA executive consulted with Durham College
22		documents?
23		A. I don't know.
24	51	Q. Okay.
25		MS. SANCHE: Did you want to mark it as

1 an exhibit to this examination as well? MR. MOORE: I feel it's fine if it's 2 3 marked in one unless you --4 MS. SANCHE: No, no, I think we --5 there's only -- there's few exhibits. I think 6 we'll be okay. 7 BY MR. MOORE: 52 If I could turn to Exhibit "E" of 8 Ο. 9 your affidavit, and then at page 6 of that Exhibit, page 54 of the application record, at the bottom of 10 the page it states "Compliance with College Rules 11 12 and Policies". It states that: 13 "The Student Association 14 acknowledges and agrees that it 15 shall be bound by, comply with and 16 shall not enact any policies, rules 17 or by-laws that conflict with the 18 regulations, rules, policies and 19 by-laws of the College as may be 20 amended, revised or adopted in the 21 sole direction of the college from 22 time to time." 23 Are you aware or were you aware of that 24 particular provision? 25 Α. Yes.



1	53	Q. And does the SA comply with that
2		particular condition provision?
3		A. Yes.
4	54	Q. At what point was the policy that
5		we were just discussing, the feminist framework
6		policy, going to be evaluated against the policies,
7		rules and by-laws of Durham College?
8		MS. SANCHE: Before we go on, counsel,
9		I don't I allowed you to ask questions on the
10		policy, but I don't understand its relevance to the
11		litigation.
12		So perhaps you can identify that before
13		we continue to discuss the feminist framework
14		policy or any other policy that has been proposed
15		in an agenda
16		MR. MOORE: Yeah
17		MS. SANCHE: that was subsequent to
18		the ratification denial of your client's proposed
19		organization.
20		MR. MOORE: Sure, counsel. I think it
21		speaks to the executive and their thoughts and
22		beliefs on this matter.
23		It also speaks to the application of
24		Speak for the Weak in the sense that this is an
25		organization that does want to have club status at



-- in the SA, and this is a policy that could potentially impact that club status.

R/F MS. SANCHE: Well, there is a lot of policies that could impact that -- impact club status period, including, for instance, presumably the anti-racism policy. But at the same time, that's all prospective, and we're talking about an event that occurred in August of 2015 when this policy was not in existence. So I don't think I'm going to allow any more questions on it for that reason.

And as noted in the title and style of cause, the Student Association is the respondent, not the SA executive and not any individual members. So I don't really see the relevance. So I'm not going to allow any more questions. You can ask them; I'll refuse them. You may want to ask them to have them on the record, but we're not going to continue to go down prospective paths instead of retrospective paths.

MR. MOORE: I think the mindset of the SA executive apart from any prospective implications of these policies is relevant to this action.

MS. SANCHE: Well, I disagree. But



1		more importantly, even if it were the case, it
2		wouldn't be relevant as of January 2016.
3		MR. MOORE: Well, let's continue on
4		here and we'll just work through this.
5		BY MR. MOORE:
6	55	Q. Let's turn to paragraph 14 of your
7		affidavit. You reference in this paragraph the
8		SA's statement of principles and, in particular,
9		you reference the goal I'm just reading here:
10		"Its goal is to work toward
11		building an environment free of
12		systemic societal oppression and
13		decolonization and to do all other
14		things that are incidental or
15		conductive to these purposes."
16		That is one of seven principles that
17		guide the SA; correct?
18		A. Correct.
19	56	Q. And those principles, the SA must
20		comply with all seven of those principles; correct?
21		A. Yes.
22	57	Q. And the SA can't comply with one
23		of those principles to the exclusion of the others
24		or any of the other principles?
25		A. My interpretation, they have to be

1		they all have to be met for us to fulfill our
2		mandate as an organization.
3	58	Q. Okay. Thank you. And then to
4		understand what the principles mean, systemic
5		societal oppression is a statements both in the
6		by-laws and within the letters patent of the SA.
7		So it's an important principle and it's referenced
8		throughout your affidavit.
9		When you refer to that principle in
10		your affidavit, what do you mean by "systemic
11		societal oppression"?
12		MS. SANCHE: Sorry, what Mr. Cullen
13		means?
14		MR. MOORE: Yes, obviously in his
15		capacity in which he's swearing this affidavit.
16		MS. SANCHE: So you want him to
17		interpret personally what societal oppression means
18		to him?
19		MR. MOORE: In his position as the
20		president of the SA.
21		R/F MS. SANCHE: Well, I don't think I'm
22		going to let him answer that.
23		BY MR. MOORE:
24	59	Q. Would you agree that there's
25		potentially different interpretations of what



1		systemic societal oppression could be?
2		A. No.
3	60	Q. There's only one interpretation of
4		what systemic societal oppression is?
5		A. There's different interpretations,
6		but I wouldn't agree that all of them are correct.
7	61	Q. Okay. So there's only one correct
8		interpretation of systemic societal oppression?
9		A. Yes.
10	62	Q. And that is the interpretation of
11		the SA executive?
12		A. No.
13	63	Q. Who determines what is that
14		correct interpretation of systemic societal
15		oppression?
16		A. Scholars, academics, marginalized
17		groups who experience oppression.
18		MS. SANCHE: Sorry, in what context,
19		counsel, do you mean?
20		MR. MOORE: In the context of how that
21		term applies to the matters in this case.
22		BY MR. MOORE:
23	64	Q. Does the SA seek to gain the
24		interpretation of the scholars, academics and
25		marginalized groups and apply that interpretation



1 of what systemic societal oppression is to create 2 the anti-oppression lens with which it views the 3 Speak for the Weak application? 4 Α. Yes. 5 65 0. Would you be able to point me to 6 who those scholars, academics and marginalized 7 groups are or how the SA gained their perspective? So if you want me to kind of 8 Α. 9 recite a whole body of literature, I don't know if that's --10 11 MS. SANCHE: I don't think that's 12 relevant. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't think that's 14 possible at this moment in time. So maybe is there 15 a way to reframe that question so I can provide an 16 Because I think -answer? 17 BY MR. MOORE: 66 18 Sure. How did the SA gain that 0. 19 perspective? You can just -- don't have to cite 20 everything they did. 21 Right. So in particular, when we Α. 22 hire folks who, as an example, work at Outreach 23 Services, right, who operate our women's centre, 24 food bank, sexual health resource centre, our LGBTO 25 centre, we look for folks who have come from a

1 background. So anti-oppression actually is, in 2 part, part of the social worker mandate, right. 3 So my partner's actually a social 4 worker, and those who have been educated in that 5 practice and who have the background and 6 educational, you know, requirements necessary to 7 carry out our anti-oppression mandate are sought to 8 fulfill those roles within our organization to 9 ensure that we're, you know, abiding by our mandate. 10 11 67 Ο. Okay. So those people bring the 12 perspective with them given their backgrounds, 13 which you seek out, and that perspective is then 14 adopted by the SA executive in making its 15 decisions? 16 Yeah, that practice. I wouldn't Α. 17 -- yeah, the practice is adopted. 68 18 Okay. The practices, would those Ο. 19 be guidelines for safe space and those kind of --20 is that what you're referring to by "practices"? 21 Sorry, I'm --Α. 69 22 Referencing the affidavit of Ο. 23 Rihanna -- or Reina, sorry --24 Α. Reina. 25 70 -- which I assume you've read?

1 Α. Yes. 71 2 She mentions SA's commitment to 3 positive spaces. Is that what you reference by 4 practices, adopting practices? 5 MS. SANCHE: Well, you're asking him 6 about another person's affidavit. If you want him 7 to speak on it, you should put it in front of him because I don't actually think that's what she 8 9 said. 10 BY MR. MOORE: 72 11 0. Okay. To your knowledge, does the 12 SA have a positive space mandate? 13 Outreach Services does positive Α. 14 space training with volunteers and staff in 15 addition to anti-oppression training. 16 MS. SANCHE: And counsel, I don't know 17 what you mean by "mandate". We have the statements 18 of principles. So there are -- they've been 19 discussed at length, the principles, and we can 20 look at them, and if there's an anti-space 21 principle, then that, I suppose, could make it a 22 mandate, but I don't quite understand what you mean 23 by "mandate" and I don't think it's fair to ask the 24 witness without explaining what that word means.

25

BY MR. MOORE:

1	73	Q. Okay. Let's turn to the affidavit
2		of Reina, which is tab 2 of the application record,
3		and then paragraph 2 and section subsection (b),
4		and it states:
5		"Within her responsibilities
6		are to ensure ensuring that all
7		activities and endorsements of the
8		board reflect the anti-oppressive
9		and positive space mandate of the
10		SA." [As read]
11		Would you agree that the SA has a
12		"positive space mandate"?
13		A. It's in the by-laws. So yes.
14	74	Q. Okay. And in regards to what you
15		mentioned about practices, is do the practices
16		that you reference include the practices that
17		ensure a positive space?
18		A. Yes.
19	75	Q. Does the SA enforce the
20		perspective of what systemic societal oppression is
21		on its recognized clubs and any clubs seeking to
22		become recognized clubs?
23		A. Define "enforce". What is what
24		do you mean by "enforce"?
25	76	Q. Does it require them to adopt the

SA's view of what systemic societal oppression is?

A. So all clubs go through -- and this is actually something that our clubs department implemented, you know, in the past couple years through the leadership conference every summer.

It has to go through an anti-oppression workshop as part of the ratification process, and so of course the SA cannot enforce anyone to adopt any belief or value, but we do require as a mandate, as part of the club training that takes place, you know, in compliance with what our by-laws state.

Q. Okay. So they all must take this club training to get exposed to the SA's view of what societal -- systemic societal oppression is, but the SA will not enforce them to adopt that.

But in the club's own documents or application in the club's own mandate, will the SA require that that club's mandate reflect the SA's commitment to ensure an environment free of systemic societal oppression?

R/F MS. SANCHE: Counsel, that's not a proper question. There are a lot of assumptions built in there that are, I guess, supposed to be



1 repeating back what he said, but they aren't 2 accurate and I'm not going to have him answer it. 3 MR. MOORE: Okay. Let me --4 MS. SANCHE: If you want to ask a 5 narrow question that's fine, but he didn't say that 6 they're exposing clubs to their views or anything 7 like that, and I don't think it's fair to restate improperly what he said. So I'm refusing that. 8 9 MR. MOORE: I'm not seeking to restate 10 anything improperly, I'm sorry, but I was seeking 11 to restate what I understood. So how about I 12 clarify that before I move on to the question. 13 BY MR. MOORE: 14 78 Ο. Does the SA provide the 15 individuals who attend this training with its 16 perspective of what systemic societal oppression 17 is? 18 MS. SANCHE: Mr. Cullen told you what 19 the SA does. It's part of their requirement for 20 ratification in align with their by-laws that clubs 21 go through a workshop. 22 Okay. So now I'm asking a MR. MOORE: 23 question about that workshop from his answer. 24 R/F I think you're improperly MS. SANCHE: 25 trying to suggest that it's indoctrination, and I



1		don't think that's proper. You want to ask, which
2		you did yesterday anyway, what occurs in the
3		workshop, et cetera.
4		MR. MOORE: That's
5		MS. SANCHE: If he knows it he can
6		answer it, but this is he answered the question
7		already when you asked do you enforce the mandate,
8		and he explained what was done.
9		MR. MOORE: I'm assuming that's a
10		refusal for that question?
11		MS. SANCHE: Yes. Counsel, I'm
12		unwilling to continue to repeat and to have you ask
13		different ways the same question. So you can ask
14		it once, and when he answers it that's the answer.
15		MR. MOORE: I'm seeking to gain
16		clarification and understanding for the proper
17		determination of this application, counsel.
18		MS. SANCHE: I disagree with what
19		you're doing.
20		MR. MOORE: I understand that.
21		MS. SANCHE: So you can ask your next
22		question
23		BY MR. MOORE:
24	79	Q. Does the SA
25		MS. SANCHE: but he's not going to



1		answer that one. Sorry.
2		MR. MOORE: Yeah, sorry.
3		MS. SANCHE: No, no, it's my fault.
4		BY MR. MOORE:
5	80	Q. Does the SA require that campus
6		clubs within their renewal applications or initial
7		applications, does it require that those documents
8		respect the SA's perspective of what systemic
9		societal oppression is?
10		A. Do you mean they have to be
11		exclusively laid out in their application process?
12		Is that what you're saying?
13	81	Q. Yes, does any let me rephrase
14		that question. I think it was a little convoluted.
15		Does the SA require that a student
16		group's documents applying for or applying for
17		recognition or renewal of its club status, does the
18		SA require that those documents not conflict with
19		the SA's understanding of what systemic societal
20		oppression is?
21		A. Yes.
22	82	Q. And at paragraph 15 of your
23		affidavit you the last statement of that
24		paragraph, which I'll read:
25		"By anti-oppression, the SA



1		means the practice of challenging
2		all forms of oppression based on
3		race, gender, sexuality, class,
4		religion, immigration status,
5		country of origin, age, physical
6		ability and mental health status."
7		Did I read that correctly?
8		A. Yes.
9	83	Q. Is this a complete list of the
10		basis for all oppression?
11		A. It's not exhaustive, no.
12	84	Q. Okay. So there could be
13		oppression based on someone's physical appearance,
14		possibly?
15		R/F MS. SANCHE: I don't that's not for
16		him to answer, counsel. He said it's not an
17		exhaustive list.
18		BY MR. MOORE:
19	85	Q. Does the SA recognize any forms of
20		oppression which are not on that list?
21		MS. SANCHE: In with respect to
22		what?
23		MR. MOORE: With respect to its view of
24		what systemic societal oppression is and how that
25		definition is then enforced against campus clubs.



1 THE WITNESS: We would consider 2 something to be oppressive that changes or prevents 3 an individual considered a person under law and, 4 you know, the country of Canada to reach -- prevent 5 them from reaching their full capacity as a citizen 6 of the country through the experience of any one of 7 their identities. BY MR. MOORE: 8 9 86 Okay. Are you aware of what the O. definition of a person under the law of Canada is? 10 I could not cite it offhand. 11 Α. 12 87 Are you aware of whether an unborn Q. 13 child is recognized as a person under the 14 definition of laws in Canada? 15 MS. SANCHE: It's not relevant. R/F 16 MR. MOORE: It's incredibly relevant, 17 counsel. 18 MS. SANCHE: No, it's not. You're 19 trying to make it relevant, but it's not. 20 irrelevant to whether your client's proposed club 21 was ratified what a person is defined as under the 22 Completely irrelevant. law. 23 MR. MOORE: The SA recognizes that 24 oppression exists in things that keep people from 25 gaining their full benefits of status as citizens

of Canada as recognized as humans under the law, and this issue is dealing with a view on the status of unborn life. So I don't know how that's not a relevant question.

MS. SANCHE: Well, the issues in the litigation is whether a campus club was improperly ratified period, and it is completely irrelevant whether the SA or the board or anybody else has any views on any of those topics --

MR. MOORE: The views --

MS. SANCHE: -- and he's not answering those questions.

MR. MOORE: Okay. The views of the SA, which are relevant, is the view of the SA in regards to systemic societal oppression, which has been cited as the reason why this campus club is not permitted to have campus club status. So those are the views that I'm exploring on this questioning.

MS. SANCHE: Well, we can go to the reasons why the club was denied status, and I think that you're mischaracterizing the statements about oppression as well so...

MR. MOORE: I'm seeking to learn and understand from Mr. Cullen's answers. If I'm



1 mischaracterizing things, I'm seeking to ask 2 questions on those and I'm being blocked as I'm 3 asking questions on what is the SA's view of 4 oppression. 5 MS. SANCHE: I'm refusing irrelevant 6 It's irrelevant what the definition of 7 a person is under the law, and I'd also note that 8 Mr. Cullen is not a lawyer and not a legal expert. 9 MR. MOORE: Mr. Cullen raised the 10 definition of what a person is under the law in his 11 answer to what is oppression and what the SA seeks 12 to stop. I'm just simply following up what he 13 means by that and if he has understanding of what 14 that is. 15 MS. SANCHE: I think he gave you his 16 answer. 17 MR. MOORE: And I think it was a proper 18 question and I'd appreciate his answer. 19 MS. SANCHE: Well, then you can bring a 20 motion and force me to require him to answer, but I 21 think it's irrelevant. 22 And again, you can try to expand this 23 litigation, but it is not -- that is not a relevant 24 question based on your notice of application.

25

Well, counsel, I believe

MR. MOORE:

1 we're entitled to explore the issues in this 2 application, which include the issues that are 3 brought up in the affidavits and the reasons for 4 the decisions. 5 MS. SANCHE: I've invited you to ask 6 questions on that issue. If you want to take him 7 to the reasons why -- he hasn't even discussed the reasons why the application was denied, but you 8 9 haven't done that so I'm refusing it. And as you know, the procedure, I'm 10 sure in this province, is if you don't like that it 11 12 was refused, you can bring a motion to force us to 13 answer the question and a court can decide if it 14 was a relevant question. 15 That's -- so you can continue to ask. 16 We don't have to keep discussing it, but that's my 17 position. 18 BY MR. MOORE:

Q. Let's move on, then, Mr. Cullen. We'll look at paragraph 19 of your affidavit.

This references an unrecognized student group. Are you aware that unrecognized student groups -- actually, let's look at Exhibit "D" of your affidavit before I look at this question.

Exhibit "D" of your affidavit in



19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 section 4.3, this is Durham College's policy on the 2 recognition of student organizations, and in this 3 policy at 4.3 it states -- no, strike that. 4 question's not correct there. I'm on the wrong 5 section. 6 I guess I'll just ask my question about 7 referencing this section because you're probably more familiar with it than I am, but an 8 9 unrecognized student group is treated as a 10 community group for the purposes of room bookings 11 by Durham College; correct? 12 Α. Correct. 89 13 Q. Okay. In paragraph 21 of your 14 affidavit you set out some information concerning 15 the funding of recognized campus clubs; correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 90 Q. And campus clubs can receive 18 amounts in funding in addition to that base \$750 a 19 year amount? 20 Α. Yes. 21 91 Are you aware of how much more Ο. 22 funding a campus club can receive? 23 Α. There's no threshold. So there's 24 a pot of money and every year is budgeted in the 25 operating budget.



1		I believe again, this is my best
2		estimate around \$70,000, or so, that clubs and
3		societies can apply to, have access to, and those
4		funds are distributed based on the type of
5		application and the purposes that it will be used
6		for, et cetera, and that committee determines if
7		those are appropriate expenses.
8	92	Q. Okay. And that 70,000 includes
9		the \$750 a year?
10		A. No, that's in addition to the 750,
11		yeah.
12	93	Q. Okay. And so this is for the
13		purposes of special events and grants?
14		A. Right.
15	94	Q. Okay. Are you aware of how much a
16		single club is eligible to receive or has received?
17		A. I don't have those specific
18		numbers offhand, but they're never more than a few
19		thousand dollars at most.
20	95	Q. Okay. So perhaps \$5,000?
21		A. I couldn't, I couldn't say.
22	96	Q. At Exhibit "A" of Jesse
23		Cullen's or sorry, Christian Naggar's affidavit,
24		there is the application of Speak for the Weak.
25		I'm not exactly familiar with



1		MS. SANCHE: Tab A; right?
2		MR. MOORE: Yeah, tab A.
3		BY MR. MOORE:
4	97	Q. And in an application for campus
5		club status a student group will describe itself in
6		the section of student group description; correct?
7		A. Yes.
8	98	Q. And so that is the place where
9		that club has the opportunity to present its
10		mandate to the SA?
11		A. Yes.
12	99	Q. In looking at the student group
13		description for Speak for the Weak, is there
14		something within that description that violates the
15		SA's policies?
16		A. So I think if you refer to "from
17		fertilization to natural death", in terms of
18		oppression, we know that women have been
19		historically an oppressed group globally not just
20		in Canadian society, and access to safe and legal
21		abortions is a fundamental, you know, human right
22		that women should have access to.
23		So any group that is making implication
24		that their mandate would be to restrict that right
25		or advocate for the restriction of that right would

1		be a form of oppression against women, and so that
2		would be in conflict with our by-laws.
3	100	Q. Okay. Now, I heard you say
4		restrictions on that right of access. Does that
5		mean if a group advocates for restrictions on
6		late-term abortions they are also violating the
7		SA's policy?
8		R/F MS. SANCHE: That's a hypothetical.
9		Don't answer it.
10		BY MR. MOORE:
11	101	Q. So if I understand you correctly,
12		any restrictions on that freedom of a woman to
13		terminate her pregnancy are viewed as a violation
14		of SA policy?
15		A. They conflict with our by-laws.
16	102	Q. Okay. And what part of your
17		by-laws do they conflict with?
18		A. Creating an environment free of
19		systemic societal oppression.
20	103	Q. Do they conflict also with
21		creating an environment free of decolonization?
22		A. Women's bodies have most
23		definitely been colonized, and abortion could
24		definitely be interpreted as the colonization of a
25		woman's body through state intervention, through



1 the criminalization of that abortion. 104 2 Ο. In paragraph 39 of your affidavit 3 you mention a meeting to review the Speak for the 4 Weak package. When did this meeting occur? 5 Α. Shortly after the package was 6 given to us. I don't know if there's a specific 7 date here in the affidavit, but it would have been -- that would have been in August. 8 9 I believe the package was submitted on 10 August 19th, if I'm not mistaken. So it would have been shortly thereafter. 11 12 105 Okay. Was this meeting different Q. 13 than the August 24th meeting referenced in the 14 minutes attached to the supplemental affidavit of 15 Reina? 16 To the best of my knowledge, I Α. 17 believe that that was the same meeting. But again 18 -- yeah, I believe that was, that was the meeting. 19 106 Now, you describe in your 20 paragraph 39 that this was an ad hoc basis, but the 21 meeting described there in August twenty -- in the 22 minutes for the August 24th meeting has got a 23 number of other matters as well. Would that 24 indicate they weren't the same meeting, or perhaps 25 they were the same meeting?

1		A. Right. There was some informal
2		conversations, obviously, prior to our formal
3		executive meeting about planning to bring this up
4		at the executive meeting and discussing our view on
5		the package and what kind of decision we were going
6		to make.
7		But again, this was, you know,
8		approaching almost a year ago. So to the best of
9		my recollection, we met on an ad hoc basis to
10		review the package, and then decided to discuss it
11		formally at an executive meeting.
12	107	Q. Okay. So at this ad hoc meeting
13		there was do you know which members of the SA
14		executive were in attendance?
15		A. To my recollection, there was all
16		of us.
17	108	Q. All six or
18		A. There was at the time we had a
19		vacancy. So I believe there was five.
20	109	Q. Okay. And did you lead the
21		discussion at this meeting, Mr. Cullen?
22		A. It was a collaborative discussion.
23		There wasn't
24	110	Q. And this was after Chantal James
25		had referred the Speak for the Weak's application



1 to all the members of the SA executive and had 2 flagged it for concern; correct? 3 Α. Correct. 4 111 And she had flagged for concern on Ο. 5 the basis that it was a pro-life club? 6 She said -- from my recollection, 7 she had mentioned that there were some concerns of 8 this, some -- there were some positives to this 9 club, some concerns that she may have had, and wanted to escalate it to our attention. 10 112 11 O. Was one of those concerns that it 12 was a pro-life club? 13 Without the e-mail in front of me, I can't -- is that an exhibit here? Because I 14 15 don't -- I can't recite the e-mail specifically. 16 113 Exhibit "D" to Reina's affidavit. O. 17 MS. SANCHE: Well, the e-mail says what 18 it says, counsel. So Mr. Cullen can repeat the 19 affidavit -- the e-mail to you, or we can all 20 believe that it says what it says. 21 MR. MOORE: Yeah, I just -- you asked 22 to reference it so ... 23 MS. SANCHE: Well, because you were 24 asking him to state what someone else said, which 25 is improper.



1		THE WITNESS: I just want to make sure
2		whatever I say is accurate.
3		BY MR. MOORE:
4	114	Q. So at the discussion of this
5		application, you indicate that:
6		"We reviewed the Speak for the
7		Weak's"
8		Let me start that question again.
9		Strike that. Paragraph 39 you state:
10		"We reviewed Speak for the
11		Weak's mandate and did research on
12		March for Life, which they proposed
13		to attend."
14		Did this occur at this meeting, both
15		the review and the research, or did that occur
16		independently of this meeting?
17		A. I think we met and then just
18		determined to do more research into the issue. I
19		think a few of us may have even been on our phones
20		briefly and then decided to come back and discuss
21		the matter further at an executive meeting.
22	115	Q. Okay. Were there any notes
23		concerning this review or research?
24		A. No.
25	116	Q. Was there any e-mails discussing

1		it?
2		A. Not to my knowledge.
3	117	Q. Do you know who did research on
4		this March for Life?
5		A. I personally reviewed their
6		website.
7	118	Q. Do you know if anyone else
8		reviewed their website?
9		A. I can't speak for someone else.
10	119	Q. And did you then communicate your
11		findings to the other members of the SA executive?
12		A. Yes.
13	120	Q. Orally?
14		A. Yes, at an executive meeting.
15	121	Q. And that would presumably be the
16		executive meeting on August 24th?
17		A. Yes.
18	122	Q. I don't see any reference to Speak
19		for the Weak's group description as a pro-life club
20		in paragraph 39, but at this ad hoc meeting was
21		their commitment to "human life from all fertilized
22		fertilization to natural death" also discussed?
23		A. Yes.
24	123	Q. It's just not included here in
25		paragraph 39. I don't know if it's was it



1 discussed extensively, or was that sort of a side issue? 2 3 Α. I don't know if I can answer that 4 question accurately. The entire club ratification 5 package was discussed at length. Part of that 6 application process was that mandate. 7 124 And another part of it was this Ο. 8 event, particular event? 9 Α. Correct. 10 125 Do you know how long this ad hoc 0. 11 meeting went? 12 Α. I don't. 126 13 Okay. So you did research into Q. 14 the views of the Campaign for Life, which I 15 understand is the organizer of the March for Life 16 event? 17 That's my understanding. 127 18 Okay. And you did research into 0. 19 the Campaign for Life's views? 20 Α. Yes, and the folks they're 21 affiliated with and different groups they've been affiliated with. 22 23 128 Ο. Okay. 24 They're pretty open on their 25 website about who they're associated with.



1	129	Q. Yes. Is that a common practice to
2		for the SA to research the groups that campus
3		clubs are affiliated with or potential campus clubs
4		will be affiliated with?
5		A. Sorry?
6	130	Q. Does the SA look into affiliations
7		of any other campus clubs to evaluate if those
8		affiliated groups meet the SA mandate?
9		A. So the SA, like, elected
10		leadership mandates or delegates those
11		responsibilities to our campus clubs and societies
12		department, and then if there are issues that they
13		feel are, you know, beyond their scope or need
14		further clarification, they'll flag it to us.
15		So I don't micromanage our staff to the
16		extent to ask, you know, what research did you do
17		on all 160 clubs that get ratified, but I trust
18		their judgment as staff members that they'll flag
19		us issues that they believe that we need to look
20		further into.
21	131	Q. And the views of a group that a
22		campus club would associate with would be relevant
23		to that consideration?
24		A. Sorry, say that again?
25	132	Q. The views of a group that the



1		campus a ratified campus club associates with
2		are relevant. So you would expect and hope that
3		your staff would flag some of those views for you?
4		A. Yes.
5		MS. SANCHE: And counsel, just to
6		clarify, you're talking about during the
7		ratification process?
8		BY MR. MOORE:
9	133	Q. During the ratification process
10		A. Right, right.
11	134	Q and renewal process and even,
12		maybe, event approval process?
13		A. Yes.
14	135	Q. Okay. Did you have any
15		involvement with the application of the Catholic
16		Students Association for club status at within
17		the SA?
18		A. I personally did not have any
19		involvement in that ratification.
20	136	Q. Would it surprise you to learn
21		that the Catholic Students Association is
22		affiliated with the Catholic Church?
23		A. It would not surprise me
24		particularly as a recovering Catholic.
25	137	Q. Would the Catholic Church's views



1		relevant to same sex marriage be relevant in the
2		renewal application of the Catholic Students
3		Association?
4		R/F MS. SANCHE: Without having the
5		application in front of him, I'm not willing to
6		have him answer the question. We don't know what
7		their student group description or mandate is.
8		BY MR. MOORE:
9	138	Q. But we know they're affiliated in
10		some form with the Catholic Church; correct?
11		A. Yes.
12	139	Q. Did the Catholic Students
13		Association
14		MS. SANCHE: Well, counsel, actually I
15		don't know if we know that. I don't know what
16		their mandate is, and I don't know if they indicate
17		that they are a group that gets together to talk
18		about their faith, or if they're a group that
19		advocates on the behalf of the Catholic Church, and
20		I think there's a difference and I don't think it's
21		fair to ask the witness that question without
22		putting their mandate before him, and he's not
23		going to answer questions on other clubs if he
24		doesn't have the information before him.
25		BY MR. MOORE:

1	140	Q. Are you familiar with the Catholic
2		Students Association?
3		A. Insofar as they exist, yeah.
4	141	Q. Did the SA ratify them or their
5		renewal application this year?
6		A. Yes. From my understanding,
7		they're a very active student club.
8	142	Q. And you were part of that SA group
9		that ratified them?
10		A. So the application for the
11		application was as a several different I don't
12		know when they ratified.
13		So for instance, you can ratify in the
14		summertime if you want to get a head start on your
15		planning for the year, but the campus clubs
16		department encourages that of returning students.
17		I'm not sure of the ratification date.
18		So I couldn't answer that question accurately, but
19		I'm the executive I'm a new president of the
20		year that they've been ratified
21	143	Q. Okay.
22		A whether or not that
23		ratification happened prior to my term or not.
24	144	Q. Thanks.
25		MR. MOORE: How are we doing? Do we



1		need a break?
2		THE WITNESS: I'm okay.
3		MS. SANCHE: I thought we'd go a couple
4		more minutes, but if it's tell me when it's a
5		good time to break when you're going to move to a
6		new section.
7		THE WITNESS: I'm a smoker. I'm not
8		going to say no to any breaks, but
9		MS. SANCHE: That's on the record.
10		Don't
11		MR. MOORE: Why don't we take a break
12		here.
13		Recess commenced at 10:02 a.m.
14		Upon resuming at 10:14 a.m.
15		BY MR. MOORE:
16	145	Q. Before the break, we were looking
17		at paragraph 39, and we'll just keep kind of moving
18		down this paragraph. The middle sentence here that
19		begins with "this hostility", it says:
20		"This hostility, moreover,
21		challenged the SA's obligation to
22		ensure the safety of its members."
23		I understand that you're referencing
24		what you state to be the outward prejudice against
25		the LGBT community by Campaign for Life, and I

wondered if you could explain how their views challenged the safety of the SA members?

A. So number one, all clubs by mandate have to be open to all students irrespective of, you know, their affiliation.

So for instance, you referenced the Catholic Student Association earlier. The Catholic Student Association just can't -- their club can't just be open to Catholic members of our SA. All students have to be able to access and have a right to be a member of that club if they so choose.

And so this hostility, as a first point, would, by definition, you know, make it very difficult for members of our campus community who identify as LGBTQ+ to feel as though they could actively and fully participate in the activities of a club that is affiliated with organizations and their affiliates who have actively campaigned against, you know, their rights as persons.

And so, you know, that in and of itself is problematic for us as an organization when we have an obligation to represent all of our students, and we have an equity mandate to ensure those of our members who are marginalized or live on the margins of society already through their



experiences of their identities would be very difficult for them to feel safe in a place or participate in an organization that, you know, was affiliated with groups who are actively opposing their existence and their identities.

Q. And when you reference "feeling safe", are you referencing feeling comfortable with that group, or are you referencing physical safety?

A. No, we're -- so in order to understand oppression, we have to talk about -- like I know this is an abstract term, right. So sometimes it's hard to drill down to what this means.

We know that members of the LGBTQ community, particularly trans individuals, are disproportionately affected by violence, right. We have a trans day of remembrance every year of our Student Association to remember those in our community who have lost their lives either to suicide or violent acts against them, that have been perpetrated against them.

We know that when we try -- when we talk about our by-laws and creating an environment free of systemic societal oppression, the environment you help create also produces out of

that environment certain types of, you know, behaviour, right.

allocate our limited resources and funding to organizations that have been known to actively campaign against the rights of those individuals, we feel this contributes to an atmosphere of, you know, an unsafe place for them to be able to go to school and learn and actively participate in campus activities particularly when those individuals are already facing not just discrimination, but they're also, you know, disproportionately affected by violent acts against them.

So it's a very real concern for folks in our community who identify as LGBTQ+.

Q. Did you view, then, the funding of Speak for the Weak as funding Campaign for Life?

The potential funding of Speak for the Weak as a campus club, did you view that as funding Campaign for Life?

A. No.

- Q. Okay. Did you view Speak for the Weak itself as a club creating an unsafe place for students?
 - A. Through its affiliates and its



mandate, we felt that their mandate of Speak for the Weak contributed to an environment that increases the marginalization of certain members of our community.

Q. You weren't worried that Speak for the Weak members would do violent acts to marginalized members of the community, were you?

A. I worry about all sorts of members of our community committing violent acts against individuals, not specifically Speak for the Weak.

And I'm not speaking to any one individual who's a member of this club. I would never speak to their intentions or their likelihood of doing something or not doing something, but what I am concerned with, as someone who studies society as part of my program, is understanding the conditions under which these types of instances are more likely to occur whether that be perpetrated by a member of that club explicitly or members of our campus community that would be, you know, engaging in that type of behaviour.

So no, I can't speak to any individual member of Speak for the Weak at all. I couldn't speak to their intentions or likelihood of committing a violent act.



1 150 O. Do you know if Speak for the Weak had outward prejudice against the LGBTQ+ community? 2 3 I cannot speak to individual members of Speak for the Weak or what their 4 5 personal values or beliefs are; however, we can 6 look at who the group is proposing in their 7 ratification package to affiliate with openly and 8 the mandate of those organizations, and we can draw 9 conclusions from that and make judgements and apply 10 our by-laws as we interpret them as democratically 11 elected representatives of students to ensure that 12 we're upholding our mandate as an organization. 13 151 So in making those judgments and Ο. 14 applying those by-laws, did you make the judgment 15 that Speak for the Weak -- as the club, not the 16 individuals -- but Speak for the Weak as the club 17 had adopted a prejudice against the LGBTQ+ 18 community? 19 Again, I can't speak for what Speak for the Weak's club mandate was to -- whether 20 21 it was to marginalize or discriminate against LGBTO 22 community, but their affiliations with folks who 23 do, who are well documented at having done so, was 24 problematic for us. 25 152 Ο. And this affiliation with Campaign



1		for Life rose to the attention of the SA because
2		Speak for the Weak included it in the section of
3		the application entitled "Events and Activity
4		Ideas"; correct?
5		A. Correct.
6		THE WITNESS: Sorry, where's the
7		ratification package? Just so I'm making sure.
8		Yes, correct.
9		BY MR. MOORE:
10	153	Q. When a club is approved for campus
11		club status, the SA still has to approve of all its
12		events; correct?
13		A. Yes, so there's are you asking
14		what the event approval process is?
15	154	Q. Just
16		MS. SANCHE: No, just listen to the
17		question. So maybe ask him again, counsel.
18		MR. MOORE: Yes.
19		BY MR. MOORE:
20	155	Q. So when a club is approved for
21		campus club status, the SA still has to approve of
22		all that club's events?
23		A. Yes.
24	156	Q. So an approval of a club's
25		application doesn't mean approval of all the



1		proposed events ideas that it includes in its
2		application, does it?
3		MS. SANCHE: Didn't you just ask that
4		question?
5		MR. MOORE: No, that this is a
6		different question.
7		BY MR. MOORE:
8	157	Q. This is whether the approval of a
9		club's application is also an approval of all the
10		club's events?
11		MS. SANCHE: You just asked that
12		question. He said that
13		MR. MOORE: No, I didn't.
14		MS. SANCHE: to ratify it, they have
15		to it includes all of the events.
16		MR. MOORE: No, that's not what I
17		asked, counsel.
18		MS. SANCHE: No, I think you asked it
19		and he answered it. Ask it again. Maybe I'm
20		mishearing it.
21		MR. MOORE: Okay. I'll ask it again.
22		Could you repeat the question that I asked?
23		MS. SANCHE: The first time, or the
24		second time? Because
25		MR. MOORE: I guess

1 MS. SANCHE: -- say it twice. 2 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear) I guess just the first 3 MR. MOORE: 4 question. 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Do you mind if we 6 go off the record for a moment? 7 MR. MOORE: Sure. 8 -- OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION --9 BY MR. MOORE: 10 158 Okay. Subsequent to a club's 11 approval by the SA, if that club presents -- if 12 that club decides to attend a certain event or 13 create an event, the SA must approve that event; 14 correct? Or the SA -- for that club to do that 15 event, the SA has to approve that event? 16 All events that clubs do must be 17 approved by the SA. 159 18 Okay. And in regards to a club's Ο. 19 application for recognition, the events and 20 activities that are proposed under the event and 21 activities idea section of the application, the SA 22 can approve or disapprove of the events that are 23 listed in that section of the application? Can the 24 SA approve or disapprove of the events that are 25 listed in that section of the application?

1		A. I'm just trying to get at, like,
2		the crux of what's being asked here. So like are
3		we asking, like, why we ask for a list of
4		activities and events in the application process?
5	160	Q. No, I'm asking about the authority
6		of the SA. Can the SA approve of or disapprove of
7		the events listed in a club's application?
8		MS. SANCHE: I think that we've
9		established, I think today, that the SA can ratify
10		or not ratify, right. So I guess it's unclear what
11		you're asking specifically, counsel.
12		BY MR. MOORE:
13	161	Q. Okay. Let's try a different
14		approach to this. The SA can ratify or not ratify
15		a campus club?
16		A. Correct.
17	162	Q. And the SA can can the SA
18		ratify or not ratify separately the event
19		activities and ideas within that club's application
20		for ratification?
21		A. I think the same answer applies,
22		all events have to be approved by the SA, like
23		period.
24	163	Q. Okay.
25		A. And so all events have to go

through the same process irrespective, but that only happens after ratification, right.

So I can't speak in hypothetical about, like, what events we would or were not approved that are listed or may not be listed in a ratification package. All events have to approved through a process, and that's how all events are approved.

Q. Thank you. If a club applies for club status, but one of its events is not approved, one of its events cannot be approved by the SA executive for any number of reasons in that club's application, can that application still be approved without the approval of that particular event that's listed in the application?

A. Clubs can be approved or denied ratification for a variety number of reasons, right.

So there are -- hypothetically, like again, we can only deal -- in this particular case, right, we can only deal with what was given to us in a ratification package. We make our decision based on what was given to us in that ratification package.

So I can't speculate on if they were



1 ratified and if any club was ratified what event 2 they may or may not do after ratification. We can 3 only make decisions based on the information the 4 club submits to us through the ratification package 5 and make our best judgement to apply the by-laws as 6 we interpret them to the best of our ability, and 7 that's what we did in this. 8 If we're kind of -- I know we're 9 alluding and speaking around it, but we're kind of speaking to the fact that they've listed some 10 11 events in their ratification package. I'm assuming 12 they're trying to say that --13 MS. SANCHE: Don't assume. 14 THE WITNESS: So I won't assume. 15 BY MR. MOORE: 16 165 Okay. In paragraph 46 of your 17 affidavit you describe the March for Life -- or 18 sorry, the association with Campaign for Life as "a 19 fundamental part of its mandate"; is that correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 166 And you determined that the 22 association of Campus [sic] for Life was a 23 fundamental part of its mandate based off of its 24 listing of the event in this application; correct?



25

In part.

Α.

1	167	Q. What was the other part?
2		A. Through conversations I believe we
3		had with the group in person and, you know, asking
4		questions about, you know, what you guys seek to do
5		with your with your club ratification upon
6		ratification.
7		It was, you know, made clear to us this
8		was probably going to be their, you know, big event
9		for the year.
10	168	Q. And in those conversations are you
11		specifically referring to conversations that
12		occurred on September 3rd, or conversations that
13		occurred at other times as well?
14		A. To the best of my knowledge was
15		sorry, what was the date you cited?
16	169	Q. You reference there's two reasons
17		why you believe
18		MS. SANCHE: He just asked for the
19		date, counsel.
20		THE WITNESS: Yeah, I just need the
21		date.
22		BY MR. MOORE:
23	170	Q. Oh, September 3rd.
24		A. Sorry, that was the meeting with
25		campus clubs and the executive



1	171	Q. Yes.
2		A. Right. Yes, to the best of my
3		recollection.
4	172	Q. That was the only meeting that
5		you're referencing in regards to what we just
6		talked about?
7		R/F MS. SANCHE: He answered your question,
8		counsel.
9		MR. MOORE: There's some
10		miscommunication going on here, and so I'm trying
11		to
12		MS. SANCHE: Well, I'm not having the
13		witness restate himself if this is going to be
14		an extremely long process if he has to continue to
15		restate his answers.
16		BY MR. MOORE:
17	173	Q. Did you ask Speak for the Weak to
18		remove their association with Campus [sic] Life
19		Coalition and not attend the March for Life event?
20		A. The conversation was about
21		ratification, and so based on the ratification
22		package we received, we said we were unable to
23		ratify with the ratification package that we
24		received.
25	174	Q. Did you indicate to Speak for the

1 Weak that if they didn't attend this event they 2 could -- their application for ratification could 3 move forward? 4 I'm -- I don't follow. 5 175 Ο. Did you indicate that the 6 attending of this event was a key reason why their 7 application was being denied? It was one of the reasons. 8 Α. 9 176 Did you indicate to Speak for the O. 10 Weak that they could amend their application and 11 eliminate this particular event from their 12 application? 13 But I think the assumption built Α. 14 into this question is that if they had removed this 15 event they'd be ratified, and I'm saying that 16 that's -- I can't speak to whether that would be or would not be the case. 17 177 18 Okay. Can you ignore that Ο. 19 assumption and just answer whether you told them 20 that they had a right to amend their application or 21 that they could amend their application and not 22 include this proposed event? 23 Every student on campus has a Α. 24 right to submit ratification packages as they 25 already clearly understood to be the case because

we received the ratification package.

There's no policy or procedure in the entire SA, which I'm sure you guys have -- you know, have access to all of them as we can see the package, that bars students from re-ratifying or submitting new ratification packages. So they're free -- any student's free to submit any ratification package that they see fit.

Q. Do I understand correctly, then, that the SA did not indicate to Speak for the Weak specifically that they could amend and remove this March for Life event and have their application reconsidered?

A. That wasn't the point of what -- like that wasn't the reason we were meeting. We were meeting about the ratification that was in front of us, right.

If they submit another ratification package, then we would deal with the facts and information presented in that ratification package.

179 O. Okay. And so --

A. So the meeting was -- the meetings wasn't about trying to figure out, you know, exactly what they need to do to be ratified in terms of, like, a new ratification package. We



1 were dealing with the ratification package here 2 that was in front of us. 3 180 I understand. I'm asking just a 4 specific question, I guess. I believe it's a yes 5 or no question. 6 Did the SA tell Speak for the Weak that 7 they could resubmit an application without the 8 March Life event in it? 9 Our policies and procedures tells Α. students they can submit ratifications for 10 11 anything. 12 181 But at this event -- at this Ο. 13 meeting Speak for the Weak did not tell -- or the 14 SA did not tell Speak for the Weak specifically 15 that they could resubmit an application without the 16 March for Life event included? 17 So it's inferred that any student 18 can, through our policy and procedures, can submit 19 any ratification package, but there would be no 20 reason why we would say that if you take out this 21 event then you would be able to, you know, submit a 22 -- re-ratify under -- without that event included. 23 Because again, I feel like the question's a little 24 convoluted. Like... 25 182 Q. I -- sorry.



1		MS. SANCHE: Are you he can finish,
2		his answer, I think. Continue.
3		THE WITNESS: Yeah, I
4		MS. SANCHE: If you have more to say,
5		sorry.
6		THE WITNESS: I'm just I'm getting
7		stuck on like the question, as I'm understanding
8		it, is that you're asking did you inform them that
9		they could resubmit a ratification package without
10		this specific event?
11		BY MR. MOORE:
12	183	Q. Yes, that is the question.
13		A. Right. And so no, that
14		information was not given.
15	184	Q. Okay.
16		A. Right?
17	185	Q. Thank you. You mentioned that you
18		had conversation with Speak for the Weak about
19		their affiliation with Campaign for Life and that
20		was part of the reason why you described that
21		affiliation as "a fundamental part of its mandate".
22		Was it simply the fact that they would
23		travel to the March for Life? Was that the issue,
24		or did yeah, I guess was that the issue, that
25		they would attend the March for Life and they said

1		that they must attend the March for Life, or what
2		was the conversation like with Speak for the Weak
3		concerning this affiliation?
4		MS. SANCHE: You're asking two
5		questions. Do you want him to tell you, which I
6		think he already did, what they discussed at the
7		September 3rd meeting, or are you asking him what
8		about their affiliation supported the decision not
9		to ratify? You're asking two questions in one. So
10		if you can ask one question at a time
11		BY MR. MOORE:
12	186	Q. You indicated
13		MS. SANCHE: then the witness will
14		answer.
15		MR. MOORE: Sorry.
16		MS. SANCHE: That's okay. I broke. Go
17		ahead.
18		BY MR. MOORE:
19	187	Q. You indicated that you had
20		conversation with Speak for the Weak. We didn't
21		explore what that conversation was like.
22		So I'm asking what did Speak for the
23		Weak indicate that their affiliation with Campaign
24		for Life would be?
25		A. Whatever's outlined in this

1 ratification package. So the conversation was 2 based on what was in the ratification package. 3 188 0. So Speak for the Weak didn't indicate that they were to have further connection 4 5 with Campaign for Life other than attending the 6 March for Life event? 7 Α. No. 189 In your research of the March for 8 9 Life event, what was -- what did you find was the focus of the March for Life event? 10 11 Α. So my understanding of the March 12 for Life event is that event specifically is an 13 anti-abortion rally in Canada, but the affiliates 14 through my research who are openly -- open to 15 endorse or affiliate with the rally are 16 organizations such as, like, The Institute for 17 Marriage in the Family, as an example. 18 I believe I'm -- that's the correct 19 name of the organization who, through that 20 research, you know, had been actively -- in fact, 21 they were active on the issue of same sex marriage 22 when that issue was being debated in Canada as 23 actively opposing the rights of the LGBTQ 24 community.



25

And so that was what, you know, as an

1		example, things we uncovered through, you know,
2		looking into what this march was about and who they
3		were affiliated with.
4	190	Q. Okay. But the march was not about
5		opposing same sex marriage?
6		A. I believe the march is explicitly
7		about from my understanding, is an anti-abortion
8		rally.
9	191	Q. You note that you attended the
10		meeting on September 3rd, but that at paragraph 42
11		you didn't keep any notes from that meeting.
12		Do you know if any of the other people
13		who attended on behalf of the SA kept notes from
14		that meeting or took notes at that meeting?
15		A. No.
16	192	Q. No, you don't know?
17		A. I don't believe that notes were
18		taken.
19	193	Q. Okay. And specifically, you don't
20		know if Darshika Selvasivan, the manager of
21		Outreach Services, took any notes from that
22		meeting?
23		A. Again, I don't believe she did.
24	194	Q. If those notes were taken, would
25		they be in the control of the SA?



1		A. No. Again, she doesn't she's
2		no longer with the organization. Those certainly
3		weren't transferred over to us when she left. So
4		again, I don't remember her taking any notes so
5	195	Q. Okay. And this meeting on
6		September 3rd, you've indicated which members from
7		the SA attended. Do you remember which members
8		from Speak for the Weak attended?
9		MS. SANCHE: Counsel, I think it's in
10		his affidavit. Do you want him to repeat his
11		affidavit?
12		BY MR. MOORE:
13	196	Q. I'm asking you now, do you
14		remember if from the best of your recollection
15		today, do you have memory of what members Speak for
16		the Weak attended the meeting?
17		A. I believe it was Christian,
18		William and a woman. Her name starts with an "H",
19		but I forget her name.
20	197	Q. And you have reviewed the
21		supplemental affidavit that was filed yesterday,
22		which lists the minutes prepared lists notes
23		from that meeting prepared by Christian; correct?
24		A. I have.
25	198	Q. Do you recall how long the meeting

1		lasted?
2		A. I would estimate about half an
3		hour, 20 minutes to half an hour, or so. That's
4		just the best of my recollection.
5	199	Q. And your recollection that is
6		recorded here in your affidavit, was this provided
7		from notes that you took subsequent, or when did
8		you write down or had this recollection
9		transcribed?
10		A. How do you what do you mean?
11	200	Q. Your recollection of the September
12		hearing as you describe it, was that recorded for
13		the first time this year in 2016?
14		A. Yes.
15	201	Q. Was that recorded in March of
16		2016?
17		A. Yes.
18	202	Q. For the first time?
19		A. Yes.
20	203	Q. Did you consult with other members
21		of the SA in coming up with in setting out the
22		best recollection?
23		A. Yeah, I asked my colleagues if
24		they remembered exactly who was in the room.
25	204	Q. Did you ask them about the content



1		of the meeting, or did you just go from your own
2		personal memory of it?
3		A. Just went from my own personal
4		memory.
5	205	Q. And you would agree with me that
6		memories tend to fade over time?
7		A. Absolutely.
8	206	Q. And even subsequent events can
9		shade our memories, events that occurred between
10		the time we're trying to remember and the timework?
11		A. Certainly a possibility.
12	207	Q. And you have seen the supplemental
13		affidavit of Christian Naggar, which includes his
14		account of that meeting. Would you agree with his
15		account of that meeting generally?
16		MS. SANCHE: Do you want to reread it?
17		THE WITNESS: Yeah, you'll have to be
18		specific.
19		MS. SANCHE: The witness read it
20		yesterday. So maybe
21		BY MR. MOORE:
22	208	Q. Would you agree, Mr. Cullen, that
23		you brought up that Speak for the Weak's position
24		on abortion was contrary to the SA's position on
25		abortion?



1		A. No, I said that the position on
2		abortion conflicts with our by-laws, the mandate to
3		create an environment free of systemic societal
4		oppression.
5	209	Q. Okay. So you would agree that you
6		stated you discussed the issue of abortion and
7		you brought it up with Speak for the Weak?
8		A. It was a conversation.
9	210	Q. So you wouldn't agree that you
10		brought it up?
11		A. It was part of the conversation to
12		do with the ratification, right, because it was a
13		fundamental part of their application. So that was
14		one of the, you know, many issues we discussed in
15		the meeting.
16		MS. SANCHE: Counsel, are you asking
17		specifically if he brought it up, or someone else
18		brought it up first?
19		BY MR. MOORE:
20	211	Q. Yeah, did you
21		MS. SANCHE: Because I don't think it's
22		relevant who first mentioned anything.
23		BY MR. MOORE:
24	212	Q. Did you lead the discussion in
25		this meeting, Mr. Cullen?



1		A. No, actually.
2	213	Q. Who presided over the meeting if
3		there was anyone that presided over the
4		A. There wasn't anyone that presided,
5		but we agreed like Darshika was our Outreach
6		manager at the time, and we had hired Darshika
7		specifically again because of her educational
8		background and the search (ph.) provision that she
9		was doing with our organization.
10		And so we there was no no one
11		presided over the meeting, but Darshika did a lot
12		of the talking in the meeting.
13	214	Q. More of the talking than you did?
14		A. I would say maybe equal.
15	215	Q. Okay. Do you normally in your
16		position as president preside over meetings that
17		the SA executive holds or hearings that the SA
18		executive holds? Is that part of your role in your
19		position as president?
20		MS. SANCHE: Counsel, those are very
21		many and separate things. As you know, the
22		president of an organization often chairs meetings.
23		Are you speaking about a specific meeting? Because
24		if so, he can identify either whether he chaired
25		that meeting or what kinds of meetings he chaired.

1		BY MR. MOORE:
2	216	Q. Is it the standard practice in the
3	210	
		SA for the president to chair the meeting?
4		MS. SANCHE: What meeting?
5		MR. MOORE: The meetings of the
6		executive.
7		MS. SANCHE: Was this a meeting of the
8		executive?
9		THE WITNESS: Of the executive? I
10		chair the executive committee. This wasn't an
11		executive committee meeting, though.
12		BY MR. MOORE:
13	217	Q. This was not an executive
14		committee meeting?
15		A. No.
16	218	Q. Okay. You've described this
17		meeting as a hearing. Would this be described as
18		an executive committee hearing?
19		A. No, this would be an oral hearing
20		on a club ratification.
21	219	Q. Okay. And has the SA had more
22		than one of these kind of oral hearings?
23		A. I can't speak to the SA's
24		20-plus, 23 years old. Again, I've only been
25		around as president for, you know, about ten of



	I	
1		those months.
2	220	Q. Okay. So in that period of time,
3		has the SA had other meetings, oral hearings?
4		A. In terms of ratification, this was
5		the only oral hearing that we participated in this
6		year.
7	221	Q. So you can't recall who spoke
8		first or second in this meeting?
9		A. I can't, no.
10	222	Q. And you can't recall whether Speak
11		for the Weak brought up the issue of abortion or
12		whether the SA brought up the issue of abortion,
13		whether yourself or another member?
14		A. I don't.
15		MS. SANCHE: Counsel, you mean first
16		raised it? Is that what you're asking?
17		MR. MOORE: Yeah, brought up the issue
18		first.
19		MS. SANCHE: Okay.
20		BY MR. MOORE:
21	223	Q. In this meeting or in the process
22		of discussing this, was there concern about the
23		events that Speak for the Weak would have on
24		campus?
25		MS. SANCHE: Sorry, counsel, you said



1		in this meeting or in the process of discussing it.
2		I'm unclear of these two different things.
3		BY MR. MOORE:
4	224	Q. Did the SA have concerns about
5		Speak for the Weak's events on campus in addition
6		to Speak for the Weak's March for Life event?
7		MS. SANCHE: Are you referencing the
8		application package, those events?
9		MR. MOORE: Sure.
10		MS. SANCHE: I don't you know, I
11		frankly don't understand the question so I'm not
12		willing to have him answer it. Are you talking
13		about in the meeting did they discuss concerns
14		about other events, or are we leaving the meeting
15		at this point?
16		BY MR. MOORE:
17	225	Q. Let's zoom out and look at the
18		SA's concerns about Speak for the Weak's
19		application.
20		MS. SANCHE: So we're leaving the
21		meeting. Okay.
22		MR. MOORE: We're just zooming out.
23		BY MR. MOORE:
24	226	Q. So did the SA have concerns about
25		possible events that Speak for the Weak would have

1 on campus? 2 We had concerns about the mandate 3 of the club. So that could be any number of 4 That could be things that they post 5 online, they could be events they hold on campus, 6 that could be, you know, information that's 7 distributed, et cetera. The crux of it is not about a specific 8 9 or any one event. The crux of it was the mandate 10 of the organization and conflicting with our 11 by-laws. So it could be any number of things, 12 right. 227 13 Does a club's events dictate its Q. mandate according to the SA? 14 15 I think the mandate dictates the Α. 16 events. 17 228 Okay. So in a club's application Ο. 18 package is it the student group description that is 19 the mandate of the club? 20 Α. I think holistically the 21 ratification package gives an idea to the SA what 22 the mandate is of the club, right. So you consider 23 lots of different things. 24 This is why we ask for things like what 25 are some of your events and activity ideas.

1 someone says they're going to go to a KKK rally, 2 we're probably not going to ratify them, right. 3 Irrespective of what their club 4 description says, right, we would say sorry, can't 5 do that because it -- the whole package holistically is taken into consideration when 6 7 ratifying. 229 So then a club's events listed on 8 0. 9 its application package do, to a certain extent, dictate the SA's view of that club's mandate? 10 11 R/F MS. SANCHE: He answered that question. 12 He just said it. 13 MR. MOORE: Counsel, I'm not okay with 14 these objections. I think they're interfering with 15 the questioning process. 16 There was an answer given previously 17 that a club's mandate dictates its events, and now 18 I am hearing -- what I believe I am hearing -- that 19 the events actually can dictate the club's mandate 20 to a certain extent, and I am asking for 21 clarification on that point and I think that is 22 entirely proper in the scope of a question. 23 MS. SANCHE: I think you're asking the 24 same question in different ways, and I think he's 25 answered that question already. That's my



1		position.
2		MR. MOORE: Let's take a break.
3		Recess commenced at 10:52 a.m.
4		Upon resuming at 11:05 a.m.
5		BY MR. MOORE:
6	230	Q. Prior to the September 3rd
7		meeting, I understand from paragraph 10 of Reina
8		Rexhmataj's affidavit that the SA executive came to
9		establish four points that needed to be addressed.
10		Does paragraph 10 of the affidavit correctly
11		describe those points?
12		A. Yes.
13	231	Q. Okay. And the point number one
14		describes the mandate conflict with the mandate of
15		the SA.
16		Does that point there describe the
17		conflict with the Speak for the Weak's pro-life
18		position with the SA's position? Is that what that
19		point is describing?
20		A. Correct.
21	232	Q. Okay. And point number two, as
22		well, is describing that same conflict between
23		Speak for the Weak's view on abortion and this SA's
24		view that a woman's of embracing a woman's legal
25		right to reproductive freedom?



1		A. Sorry, I know I think I know
2		what you're getting at, but can you just repeat
3		that again?
4	233	Q. Yeah, let me repeat that question,
5		then.
6		And point number two, as well,
7		references the SA's view that Speak for the Weak's
8		pro-life mandate did not align with the SA's,
9		embracing a woman's "legal right to reproductive
10		freedom"?
11		A. Correct.
12	234	Q. When we refer to "reproductive
13		freedom", we're referring to specifically or we
14		are referring to it to include the freedom to
15		terminate a pregnancy?
16		A. Correct.
17	235	Q. At any point in that pregnancy?
18		MS. SANCHE: Okay. Go ahead if you
19		know.
20		BY MR. MOORE:
21	236	Q. Is the SA embracing a woman's
22		legal right to
23		A. Right. In Canada there's no
24		restrictions on when a woman can access abortion.
25	237	Q. And



1 Α. So that's what we support. 2 238 Q. Okay. So these two points by 3 themselves were sufficient for the SA to deny Speak 4 for the Weak's application, or not? 5 Α. Again, like we determine our --6 this is ratified based holistically on the club's 7 ratification. So as you spoke about earlier about the 8 9 March for Life -- or Campaign for Life Coalition --10 sorry, I forgot -- I forgot -- like the specific 11 names, but that, in concert with the activities 12 planned and the advocacy around anti-abortion 13 activities, were, you know, the reasons why we 14 didn't ratify. 15 239 Okay. And if I can understand the Ο. 16 SA's position going into the September 3rd meeting 17 as set out here in paragraph 10, do I understand it 18 correctly that each one of these sub-points listed 19 in paragraph 10 had to be addressed and if one of 20 them wasn't addressed then that itself would be the 21 basis for the SA not to approve Speak for the 22 Weak's application? 23 Again, I can't speculate on what, Α. 24 like, would have been in another ratification 25 package. We just know that these were the issues



1 we had with this ratification package. If there 2 was another one submitted, we'd have to deal with 3 it, like, as that ratification package. 4 So I don't want to speculate on, like, 5 what would have constituted a ratification or 6 wouldn't have or which one of these points were 7 more important than the other points. The reality 8 is we look at the ratification package holistically 9 and make a determination based on that. 240 Was the meeting on September 3rd 10 11 seeking to determine whether Speak for the Weak 12 could address these concerns? 13 The meeting at the time was 14 requested by Speak for the Weak, I believe, and was 15 to meet with the SA staff and executives, and the 16 intention of that hearing was to orally present to 17 them our decision on the ratification package. 18 that was the intention of that meeting. 19 241 So it wasn't an attempt to get 20 Speak for the Weak to address the concerns? 21 wasn't an attempt to get Speak for the Weak to 22 address those concerns? 23 The attempt was just to Α. 24 communicate our concerns with the ratification

package.

25

What they do with that concern is now up

to Speak for the Weak.

So if Speak for the Weak wants to submit a new ratification package, that's up to them. If they don't want to move forward because they think that's fundamental to their mandate so therefore changing their mandate would be -- again, I can't speak to the mind of Speak for the Weak.

There's nothing that prevents any student on campus from submitting any ratification package. There's nothing that says that if you submit one that's rejected you can't submit another.

We were dealing with the ratifications as presented, and if Speak for the Weak decided that those issues were, you know, too fundamental to their mandate to change to resubmit, then I can't speak to their mind.

Q. Did the SA provide these four points to Speak for the Weak before the September 3rd meeting?

A. I can't remember, to the best of my knowledge.

Q. We've discussed the March for Life event. The other events listed on their application, I would just like to go through them



1 and determine whether Speak -- whether the SA had 2 concerns with them as well. 3 Did the SA have concerns with the 4 information tables event as described at that --5 you know, there underneath on Exhibit "A" in Christian Naggar's affidavit? 6 7 There's nothing -- we have no Α. problem inherently with, like, static information 8 9 tables, but the information tables as it related to its mandate to advocate against abortion was what 10 we had an issue with. 11 12 244 So the specific information tables 0. 13 that they intended to present which quote from their event activities and ideas: 14 15 "Providing information on 16 pregnancy and parenting resources, 17 abortion alternatives, embryonic and 18 fetal development and various life 19 issues, abortion euthanasia, et 20 cetera, to the student body..." 21 in the context of this application, you 22 inferred into that that they would be supporting a 23 pro-life position and that was the problem? 24 Yeah, we inferred they'd be Α. 25 supporting an anti-choice position.



	1	
1	245	Q. Did you have any conflict or
2		concerns with the baby showers described as:
3		"Collecting donations of baby
4		food, baby clothes, diapers,
5		formula, wipes, money, et cetera, to
6		give to pregnancy and parenting
7		support centres in UIT and Durham
8		College community"? [As read]
9		A. No.
10	246	Q. Did you have concerns about
11		speakers:
12		"Inviting speakers to UIT and
13		Durham College to give presentations
14		on various life issues (abortion
15		euthanasia, et cetera)"? [As read]
16		A. Yes.
17	247	Q. And what were your concerns about
18		that?
19		A. Same with concerns around the
20		information table as it relates to their mandate.
21	248	Q. And that's a little vague.
22		A. So their mandate was rejected on
23		the basis that it conflicts with our by-laws and
24		the principles and values of the Student
25		Association.



1 Those speakers who, you know, are 2 inferred to be coming in to speak on issues with 3 respect to their mandate would be an issue for us. 4 249 Ο. Because of what they would be 5 speaking about and the views that they would be 6 speaking? 7 Because it would conflict with our Α. 8 -- it would conflict with our by-laws. 9 250 O. What they would speak about would 10 conflict, potentially? 11 The mandate of the club conflicts Α. 12 with our by-laws and the events relating to that 13 mandate conflict with our by-laws. That's what our 14 problem was with it. 15 251 And in regards to "fundraisers, 16 holding events, bake sales, movie nights, et 17 cetera, to raise money for Speak for the Weak" in 18 events and activities, did the SA have any problem 19 with those kind of activities in particular? 20 Α. Again, there's nothing inherently 21 -- there's nothing that -- a bake sale doesn't 22 inherently conflict with our by-laws. It's the 23 proceeds of those activities would be used to 24 support the mandate of Speak for the Weak, which is 25 the reason for the denial of ratification that we



1 would have an issue with. 252 2 Is the SA opposed to the 3 expression of views on campus that conflict with 4 your by-laws? 5 Α. We're opposed to funding groups 6 and activities that conflict with our by-laws. 7 253 But you don't have a problem with Ο. the expression of views that conflict with those 8 9 by-laws on campus? 10 That's not -- again, that's not Α. 11 for us to determine. Like I don't personally have 12 a problem with -- as an individual with people 13 freely associating and participating in whatever 14 activities they wish to participate in, but as my 15 role as president, I need to apply the by-laws to 16 our mandate as it relates to our mandate. So the 17 SA would not fund those activities. 18 But the SA, as an entity, has no 19 personal feeling towards individuals who decide to 20 or not to express certain views on campus. We're 21 just -- our mandate is to decide where we allocate our limited resources. 22 23 254 So the SA doesn't view it as Ο. 24 within its mandate to create spaces on campus -- or 25 let me ask this as a question.



1 Does the SA view within its mandate to 2 create spaces where students are free from opinions 3 -- from the expression of opinions they strongly 4 disagree with? 5 Α. Sorry, I'm misunderstanding the 6 question. 7 255 Does the SA view it as part of Ο. 8 their mandate to create spaces where students are 9 not exposed to opinions that make them feel unsafe? 10 No. Our mandate is to allocate Α. 11 our resources and spaces to activities that comply 12 with our mandate as an organization. Like that's 13 our mandate. So I'm confused as to where you're 14 going with this question. 15 256 So anyone that's receiving Ο. 16 resources from the Student Association, if that 17 person in the use of those resources is making 18 someone feel unsafe by expressing a view that that 19 person disagrees with, that would be something the 20 SA should take action on or would take action on in 21 regards to enforcing its mandate? 22 MS. SANCHE: Well, it's a hypothetical, 23 but I think he's answered your previous question 24 no, and then he stated what the SA's mandate is. 25 BY MR. MOORE:



1	257	Q. So with regards to groups or
2		individuals utilizing SA resources, those
3		individuals must not be if I understand the SA's
4		mandate correctly, must not be expressing views
5		that make other students feel unsafe; do I
6		understand that correctly?
7		MS. SANCHE: I don't quite understand
8		the relevance of the question in relation to the
9		application.
10		I mean, Speak for the Weak is not a
11		ratified group. So I don't think that they're
12		alleging that as a ratified group they were not
13		able to do something with funding.
14		So I'm not clear on why the
15		hypothetical about how the SA governs a ratified
16		group, which is something we could discuss in
17		reality relates to the application, sorry.
18		MR. MOORE: Yeah, I guess what I'm
19		trying to understand is the holistic determination
20		of this application did involve some consideration
21		of the expression of views contrary to the SA's
22		mandate.
23		BY MR. MOORE:
24	258	Q. I think we went over that in
25		concern that the speakers would potentially have



1 views that would be contrary to the SA's mandate; 2 is that a correct understanding? 3 R/F MS. SANCHE: I think that the witness has stated his answers. 4 I don't want to 5 repeat them. 6 MR. MOORE: Okay. Sure. 7 MS. SANCHE: So we have his answer. 8 MR. MOORE: Okay. So that's the 9 context of this question, and --10 MS. SANCHE: I don't see the --MR. MOORE: -- we understand that if 11 12 Speak for the Weak was a ratified club, the SA 13 would view that the hosting of those speakers at 14 events using SA resources would violate the SA 15 by-laws or policies. That's the question that I'm 16 asking. 17 MS. SANCHE: You weren't asking that 18 question. 19 MR. MOORE: But that's the question I'm 20 seeking to understand. 21 He's already answered that MS. SANCHE: 22 He answered how ratification was question. 23 determined, how they view the events in relation to 24 the mandate of a proposed club. He also answered 25 at the outset of our cross-examination that the SA



1 has to approve all events.

MR. MOORE: Mm-hmm.

MS. SANCHE: So I don't see this as a new question, and I also don't see it as a relevant question, again, considering Speak for the Weak has not been ratified.

So it's theoretical as to what a club that was ratified might or might not do and, frankly, I think what you're trying to input into this is questions about freedom of expression, which, again, I don't quite understand the relevance to a non-ratified club.

MR. MOORE: Counsel, I'm seeking to explore past this application now. If a club was ratified and its events were scrutinized by the SA as a ratified club, that's what I'm seeking to explore and I don't -- do you feel that that area of exploration is not relevant, counsel?

MS. SANCHE: I think it's theoretical and it's a hypothetical that you can't pose to the witness, but, more importantly, I think he already answered at the outset of the examination that events have to be approved by the Student Association period.

MR. MOORE: That's correct. And so



1 what we're seeking to do now is determine what that 2 approval evaluates. 3 MS. SANCHE: That's a different 4 question that you have not asked. You put to the 5 witness --6 MR. MOORE: That's what I'm seeking to 7 get to. 8 MS. SANCHE: Well, you should get 9 there. 10 MR. MOORE: I agree. 11 BY MR. MOORE: 12 259 So in the approval of events of 13 ratified clubs, does Speak for the Weak -- sorry, 14 scratch that. 15 In the approval of events -- in the 16 approval process of events for SA ratified clubs, 17 does Speak -- does the Student Association require that those events not make students feel unsafe? 18 19 So as a general rule of thumb, I 20 think safety of students and staff and faculty and 21 administrators on campus is probably of the utmost 22 importance to everyone, not just the Student 23 Association, so if someone's safety is in 24 jeopardy, most definitely that's taken into 25 consideration when approving events.



1	260	Q. And if an individual's physical
2		safety is not jeopardized but they're made to feel
3		uncomfortable at that event, is that a concern for
4		the SA in approving that event of a ratified club?
5		MS. SANCHE: Sorry, are you talking
6		about if after an event has happened someone feels
7		unsafe does that affect the retrospective approval
8		of that event?
9		MR. MOORE: No, if the SA believes that
10		an event will make others feel uncomfortable
11		attending that event.
12		THE WITNESS: Uncomfortable and safety
13		are two completely different concepts.
14		BY MR. MOORE:
15	261	Q. Okay. Let's look at the issue of
16		being made to feel uncomfortable.
17		Does the SA believe that in determining
18		whether a recognized campus club can have an event,
19		does the SA evaluate whether that event will make
20		students feel comfortable or not?
21		A. No.
22	262	Q. So if a student's club recognized
23		by the SA seeks to have an event that expresses
24		opinions that other students strongly disagree with
25		on campus, does the SA seek to determine does



the SA take that into account as to whether they'll approve that event?

A. No.

Q. If the recognized campus club seeks to have an event that expresses opinions that the SA executive feels disagree with policies in the by-laws of the SA, is that taken into account in approving of that event?

A. If any event violates policies or by-laws, that's definitely taken into consideration in the approval process.

So we have -- for instance, we require people off campus for an event. You have to have a certificate of insurance from the venue you're visiting, right. If there's no certificate of insurance, that violates our policy, and the event won't be approved.

So any policy that's violated through the approval process is taken into consideration.

Q. And I'm discussing a particular issue, of if an approved student group seeks to have an event that expresses opinions that the SA executive believes are contrary to the views of the SA, including this view about systemic societal oppression, is that taken into consideration in

whether the SA executive will approve that event?

A. So the SA doesn't have views. The SA has policies and by-laws, right. So if those policies and by-laws are violated, then those are absolutely taken into consideration when viewing an event approval, right.

So whether or not folks agree with the by-laws expressed in our by-law document or in our letters patent, they're still a by-law. So someone can be elected as president of our organization who fundamentally disagrees with the by-laws, but they still have to follow those by-laws.

So it's not the opinions of the executive. It's how you reasonably -- and you know, as a lawyer, you'll understand. You know, you have to reasonably, you know, apply an interpretation to any set of policies or by-laws or procedures to ensure that they're being complied with.

That's what the executive is taxed with doing, and that's how we make any judgment or any decision in our organization.

Q. So you say the SA executive does not have any views, it just seeks to enforce the by-laws?



1 Α. Every executive member has varying 2 views on a multitude of issues, but our job is to 3 interpret the by-laws as reasonably as we possibly 4 can to ensure that we're conforming to our mandate 5 as an organization as incorporated under the 6 Corporations Act. 7 266 You would agree with me that the Ο. 8 SA executive has a view of the by-laws which it 9 interprets as an "anti-oppression lens"? Would you 10 agree with that? 11 Α. The by-laws state that we have to 12 create an environment free of systemic societal 13 oppression and decolonization and all other things 14 incidental or conductive to those purposes. 15 seek to ensure that by-law is followed. 16 267 And in seeking to ensure that that 17 by-law is followed, do you agree or do you not 18 agree that the SA executive has adopted an 19 anti-oppressive lens? 20 Α. The organization, by looking to 21 seek to create an environment free of systemic 22 societal oppression, is, by definition, 23 anti-oppressive. 24 So that's not the executive imposing 25 that interpretation of the by-laws. The by-laws

1		are quite prescriptive in adopting an
2		anti-oppressive lens that's to create an
3		environment free of oppression, you need to be
4		anti-oppressive. Like the opposite of oppression
5		is anti-oppression, right.
6	268	Q. So the by-laws have required that
7		the SA executive adopt an anti-oppressive lens;
8		correct?
9		MS. SANCHE: Counsel, just to be clear,
10		you said the SA. I guess you're asking him if that
11		also applies to the executive? I wasn't sure if
12		you were repeating these questions or
13		MR. MOORE: Sorry, I'll repeat that.
14		BY MR. MOORE:
15	269	Q. So the by-laws have required that
16		the SA executive adopt an anti-oppressive lens?
17		A. That the SA as an organization
18		does, and the executives are officers of the
19		corporation.
20	270	Q. And that collectively they adopt
21		an anti-oppressive lens?
22		A. That the corporation adopts the
23		anti-oppressive lens.
24	271	Q. And if a student group has an
25		event that the SA executive feels does not adopt

that anti-oppressive lens, will that student group be approved in the absence of adopting that anti-oppressive lens?

A. So if a student group does oppressive activities, right -- so I'll give you an example.

We have an anime club, as an example. There's nothing inherently oppressive or anti-oppressive of the anime club, right. We don't got to anime clubs and, like, look over their shoulders and make sure that they're, you know, being anti-oppressive in every single thing they do.

But we know the mandate of their club is A-political. There's no -- it's indifferent. There's no oppressive or anti-oppressive mandate to that club, right. So when a club or a ratification package comes to our attention or an activity is done on campus or a policy is implemented at the SA, we ensure it doesn't create conditions for which people can be oppressed, right.

And that is what an anti-oppressive lens in a framework seeks to accomplish, is that the policies you're seeking don't marginalize people, that the mandate or activities you're



seeking to do don't marginalize or oppress people, right. So I think that's what that by-law states.

Q. And the SA is the one that determines whether an activity or event oppresses people in its role within the -- the SA executive within its role?

A. The SA doesn't exist in a bubble. So there's like -- you know, any academic library will be able to produce for you a massive body of literature around what oppression is. I encourage you to read it.

This isn't -- these are peer reviewed academic journals. These are people who are marginalized themselves. You know, there's a lot -- there's a political sociological -- sociopolitical history of oppression that's, you know, thousands of years old, right.

So this isn't like -- the SA didn't just pop up out of the ground one day and decide what was oppressive or not oppressive. We adopt -- the organization far before my time -- because I was about eight years old when this -- when the SA incorporated -- obviously had looked to the body of literature to describe what, you know, oppression is and adopt that as fundamental to do a mandate of

1 the organization. 2 So we are one organization in a host of 3 many civil society groups, academics, institutions, 4 organizations who adopt very similar 5 anti-oppression values. 6 273 And in your role as SA executives, 7 it is your role to determine whether the events of -- the proposed events of clubs, it's your final 8 9 responsibility to determine whether they are in violation of that? 10 11 Α. Absolutely, yeah. 12 Okay. Off the record. MR. MOORE: 13 -- OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION --14 BY MR. MOORE: 15 274 Mr. Cullen, I have no further 16 questions today. I'm not going to close today, and 17 counsel and I have been discussing --18 MS. SANCHE: Well, we discussed we have 19 to close, and then if there are further followup 20 questions we would discuss, but, in my opinion, 21 these examinations are closed as of today as the 22 crosses are done. 23 I didn't close yesterday, MR. MOORE: 24 I'm not going to close today, and subsequent --25 subject to further discussions with Andrea, this --



1 these are all my questions for today. 2 MS. SANCHE: Can we go off the record? 3 -- OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION --4 MR. MOORE: Could you -- could we go on 5 the record by starting with reading --6 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay, sure, one 7 moment. 8 MR. MOORE: -- what I said there? 9 THE COURT REPORTER: Counsel has asked 10 me to read back the last statement said to the 11 witness: 12 "Mr. Cullen, I have no further 13 questions" 14 He also stated: 15 "I'm not going to close today." 16 MS. SANCHE: Well, I'm objecting to 17 your refusal to close this cross-examination on the 18 basis that you have no reason to keep it open. 19 You're not adjourning the cross-examination to 20 another date, nor is there any reason why you 21 cannot finish your cross-examination today. 22 I refuse -- I think it's frankly 23 improper and improper conduct on an examination to 24 require that the witness stay under oath pending an 25 indeterminate return date.



You're not entitled by a court order nor by the rules to continue to cross-examine the witness, and I will, if necessary, have to bring a motion closing this cross-examination in court, which may jeopardize the timetable of this action and the other action as well.

More importantly, there was no order of Justice Archibald or any other judge that you be permitted an indefinite time to cross-examine the witness. There is a pending application to be heard on April 28th, the witness is here to be cross-examined, and you should complete your examination today.

I have noted, as well, that you haven't cited any rule that permits you to continue to cross-examine when you were here today to finish your cross-examination.

MR. MOORE: Thank you, counsel. And just for the record, the decision being referenced is a decision in the case of Ryerson that is --

MS. SANCHE: I didn't reference a decision.

MR. MOORE: -- pending release at an undetermined date. There has been an order in this case consolidating with another matter against



UTMSU, and counsel in that matter and I did not close our questionings pending the release of the Ryerson decision, which, according to Justice Archibald, is determinative of the matters in these two cases, and for that reason the questioning is not being closed today because of the possibility of other matters being raised in that decision and we will be discussing this matter further in the days ahead.

MS. SANCHE: And in respect of the pending decision of Ryerson, it is a legal determination that may affect the legal determination of the laws applicable in this case and potentially in the University of Toronto case, and it does not affect in any way the facts of these matters, the facts that were stated in the affidavits for which the witness is here today to be cross-examined, and I do not agree that anything that has occurred, including the hearing of these matters one after another, in any way affects your ability to complete your cross-examination today, and there is nothing in that decision of Ryerson, in my opinion, that would require you to re-examine or to continue this cross-examination of the witness.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There will be no further affidavits filed unless we have an agreement, in which case an entirely different outcome will occur, but as it stands today, it's my position that you have to complete your cross-examination and you have every ability and every minute of the day the rest of the day at your disposal to do so, and you're just simply refusing to do so.

MR. MOORE: Thank you, counsel. I have -- neither of us are aware of what will be in the Ryerson decision, and I have consulted with local Ontario counsel and have not been pointed to you -- or by you to any rule that requires me to close today, and local Ontario counsel has not -- has advised me that there is no such rule. So on that basis and in good faith, I am not closing today.

MS. SANCHE: Well, I'll just take you to the rules that require that you -- that there not be improper conduct of an examination, for instance, which is Rule 34.14 of the Rules of Civil Procedure.

But frankly, it's your obligation to cite a rule that allows you to continue any cross-examination indefinitely and you cannot cite one.



MR. MOORE: Seeing no further discussion, this questioning is concluded for today. - Whereupon the proceedings adjourned at 12:11 p.m.



1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, LORRAINE FEDOSOFF, Shorthand
4	Court Reporter, certify;
5	That the foregoing proceedings were
6	taken before me at the time and place therein set
7	forth, at which time the witness was put under oath
8	by me;
9	That the testimony of the witness
10	and all objections made at the time of the
11	examination were recorded stenographically by me
12	and were thereafter transcribed;
13	That the foregoing is a true and
14	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
15	
16	Dated this 29th day of March 2016
17	
18	(Tedooff.
19	
20	NEESON COURT REPORTING INC.
21	PER: LORRAINE FEDOSOFF, COURT REPORTER
22	
23	
24	
25	



WODD DIDEN	108 47 10	100 0110	1 205 75 5
WORD INDEX	1	169 64:16	205 75:5
A	128 47:23	17 8:19	206 75:8
<\$>	129 48: <i>1</i>	170 64:23	207 75:12
\$5,000 39:20	13 7:10	171 65: <i>1</i>	208 75:22
\$70,000 39:2	13:22 3:23	172 65:4	209 76:5
\$750 38: <i>18</i> 39: <i>9</i>	130 48:6	173 65: <i>17</i>	20-plus 78:24
	131 48:2 <i>1</i>	174 65:25	21 9:25 38:13
<1>	132 48:25	175 66:5	21:3 3:23
1 5:4	133 49:9	176 66:9	210 76:9
10 1:18 6:22 83:7,	134 49: <i>11</i>	177 66:18	211 76:20
10 85:17, 19	135 49: <i>14</i>	178 67:9	212 76:24
10:02 a.m 52: <i>13</i>	136 49:20	179 67:2 <i>1</i>	213 77:2
10:14 a.m 52: <i>14</i>	137 49:25	18 9:8	214 77:13
10:52 a.m 83: <i>3</i>	138 50:9	180 68: <i>3</i>	215 77:15
10:7 3:22	139 50:12	181 68: <i>12</i>	216 78:2
100 41:3	14 7: <i>13</i> 22: <i>6</i>	182 68:25	217 78: <i>13</i>
101 41: <i>11</i>	140 51: <i>1</i>	183 69:12	218 78: <i>16</i>
102 41: <i>16</i>	141 1: <i>19</i> 51: <i>4</i>	184 69: <i>15</i>	219 78:21
103 41:20	142 51:8	185 69: <i>17</i>	22 10: <i>17</i>
104 42:2	143 51:2 <i>1</i>	186 70:12	220 79:2
105 42: <i>12</i>	144 51:24	187 70:19	221 79:7
106 42:19	145 52:16	188 71:3	222 79:10
107 43:12	146 54:6	189 71:8	223 79:21
108 43:17	147 55:16	18th 1:21	224 80: <i>4</i>
109 43:20	148 55:22	19 9:12 37:20	225 80:17
10th 5:14	149 56:5	190 72:4	226 80:24
11 7: <i>1</i>	15 7:18 9:13 16:16	191 72:9	227 81: <i>13</i>
11:05 a.m 83:4	32:22	192 72:16	228 81: <i>17</i>
11:8 3:22	150 57:1	193 72:19	229 82:8
11.0 3.22 110 43:24	150 57:13	194 72:24	23 10:21 78:24
110 43.24 1108 1:20	152 57:25	195 73:5	23:21 3:23
111 44:4	153 58:10	196 73: <i>13</i>	23.21 3.23 230 83:6
112 44:11	154 58:15	197 73:20	231 83: <i>13</i>
113 44:16	155 58:20	198 73:25	231 83:13 232 83:21
113 44.70 114 45:4	156 58:24	199 74:5	232 83.21 233 84:4
115 45:22	150 56.24 157 59:8	19th 42:10	234 84: <i>12</i>
116 45:25	157 59.6 158 60:10	12,10	235 84:17
110 45.25 117 46:3	159 60:18	<2>	236 84:21
117 46.3 118 46:7	15th 17:3	2 5:6 28:2, 3	237 84:25
119 46:10	16 1:1 8:12	20 9:13, 14, 15 74:3	237 84.23 238 85:2
12 7:5	160 48:17 61:5	200 74:11	239 85:15
12 7.3 12:11 109:4	161 61: <i>13</i>	200 74:11 201 74:15	24 10:24
12.11 109.4 120 46:13	161 61:13	201 74.73 2015 21:8	240 86:10
120 46.75 121 46:75	162 61.77 163 61:24	2016 1:18, 21 16:16	240 86:19
121 46.73 122 46:78	163 61.24 164 62:9	17:3 22:2 74:13, 16	241 80.19 242 87:18
122 40.76 123 46:24	165 63:16	110:16	242 87.16 243 87:23
123 40.24 124 47:7	166 63:21	202 74:18	243 87.23 244 88:12
124 47:7 125 47:10	167 64:1	202 74:18 203 74:20	244 88.12 245 89:1
		l .	
126 47:13	168 64: <i>10</i>	204 74:25	246 89:10



Jesse Cullen			Δ
247 89: <i>17</i>	35 14:24	66 25:18	
248 89:21	36 15: <i>15</i>	67 26:11	< A >
249 90:4	37 15:22	68 26:18	a.m 5:1
24th 42:13, 22 46:16	38 15:25	69 26:22	abiding 26:9
25 11:7	39 16:4 42:2, 20	20.22	abilities 5:12
250 90:9	45:9 46:20, 25 52:17	<7>	ability 33:6 63:6
251 90: <i>15</i>	3L5 1:21	7 6:4, 5 11:12	107:21 108:6
251 90:13 252 91:2	3rd 64:12, 23 70:7	70 26:25	abortion 41:23 42:1
253 91:7	72:10 73:6 83:6	70,000 39:8	75:24, 25 76:2, 6
254 91:23	85:16 86:10 87:20	71 27:2	79:11, 12 83:23
255 92:7	03.10 00.10 07.20	72 27:11	84:24 88:10, 17, 19
256 92:15	<4>	73 28:1	89:14
257 93:1	4 5:14	74 28:14	abortions 40:21 41:6
258 93:24	4.3 38:1, 3	75 28:19	absence 102:2
259 96:12	40 16:6	750 39:10	Absolutely 17:24
26 11: <i>11</i>	41 17: <i>1</i>	76 28:25	75:7 99:5 104: <i>11</i>
260 97: <i>1</i>	41:8 3:24	77 29:14	abstract 54:11
261 97:15	42 17:13 72:10	78 30:14	academic 103:8, 13
262 97:22	43 17:21	79 31:24	academics 24:16, 24
263 98:4	44 17:25	33 02.2.	25:6 104:3
264 98:20	45 18: <i>3</i>	< 8 >	access 39:3 40:20, 22
265 99:23	46 18:8 63:16	8 6:14	41:4 53:10 67:4
266 100:7	47 18: <i>11</i>	80 32:5	84:24
267 100: <i>16</i>	48 18: <i>14</i>	81 32: <i>13</i>	accomplish 102:23
268 101:6	49 18: <i>17</i>	82 32:22	account 75:14, 15
269 101: <i>15</i>		82:11 3:24	98:1, 7
27 11:2 <i>1</i>	< 5 >	83 33:9	accurate 30:2 45:2
270 101:20	5 3:5 5:20	84 33: <i>12</i>	accurately 47:4 51:18
271 101:24	50 18:20	85 33: <i>19</i>	acknowledges 19:14
272 103: <i>3</i>	50:4 3:24	86 34:9	act 56:25 100:6
273 104:6	51 18:24	87 34: <i>12</i>	action 21:24 92:20,
274 104: <i>15</i>	52 19:8	88 37: <i>19</i>	20 106:5, 6
28 12:2	53 20: <i>1</i>	89 38: <i>13</i>	active 51:7 71:21
28th 106: <i>11</i>	54 19: <i>10</i> 20: <i>4</i>		actively 53:16, 18
29 12: <i>10</i>	55 22:6	<9>	54:4 55:5, 9 71:20,
29:23 3:23	56 22: <i>19</i>	9 6:18	23
29th 110: <i>16</i>	57 22:22	9:05 5:1	activities 6:11 28:7
	58 23: <i>3</i>	90 38: <i>17</i>	53:16 55:10 60:20,
< 3 >	59 23:24	91 38:2 <i>1</i>	21 61:4, 19 85:11, 13
3 5:10		92 39:8	88:14 90:18, 19, 23
30 12: <i>13</i>	<6>	93 39: <i>12</i>	91:6, 14, 17 92:11
30:24 3:23	6 5:25 19:9	94 39: <i>15</i>	102:5, 25
31 12: <i>18</i>	60 24: <i>3</i>	94:3 3:24	Activity 58:3 81:25
32 12:23	61 24:7	94577 1: <i>1</i>	102:18 103:4
33 13: <i>18</i>	62 24:10	95 39:20	acts 54:20 55:13
33:15 3:23	63 24: <i>13</i>	96 39:22	56:6, 9
34 13:20	64 24:23	97 40: <i>4</i>	ad 42:20 43:9, 12
34.14 108:20	65 25:5	98 40:8	46:20 47:10
34:15 3:23	65:7 3:24	99 40: <i>12</i>	addition 13:2 27:15
1			



38:18 39:10 80:5 address 86:12, 20, 22 addressed 83:9 85:19, 20 Adelaide 1:20 adjourned 109:4 adjourning 105:19 administrators 96:21 adopt 28:25 29:9, 17 101:7, 16, 20, 25 103:20, 25 104:4 adopted 19:20 26:14, 17 57:17 100:18 **adopting** 27:4 101:1 102:2 **adopts** 101:22 **advised** 108:15 advisement 3:16 advisements 3:7, 15 advocacy 17:11 85:12 advocate 40:25 88:10 **advocates** 41:5 50:19 affairs 8:6 affect 97:7 107:12, 15 **affidavit** 1:18 5:15, *16*, *17*, *21* 6:5 11:*11* 15:16 16:7 19:9 22:7 23:8, 10, 15 26:22 27:6 28:1 32:23 37:20, 24, 25 38:14 39:23 42:2, 7, 14 44:16, 19 63:17 73:10, 11, 21 74:6 75:13 83:8, 10 88:6 affidavits 37:3 107:17 108:1 **affiliate** 57:7 71:15 **affiliated** 47:21.22 48:3, 4, 8 49:22 50:9 53:17 54:4 72:3 **affiliates** 53:18 55:25 71:13 **affiliation** 53:5 57:25 69:19, 21 70:3, 8, 23 affiliations 48:6 57:22 **Affirmed** 3:3 5:2, 10, 15

after 7:14 42:5 43:24 62:2 63:2 97:6 107:20 **age** 33:5 **agenda** 16:14 17:2 20:15 **ago** 43:8 agree 9:22 23:24 24:6 28:11 75:5, 14, 22 76:5, 9 96:10 99:7 100:7, 10, 17, 18 107:18 agreed 77:5 agreement 108:2 **agreements** 6:15, 19 9:6 **agrees** 19:14 **ahead** 70:17 84:18 107:9 **Alexander-Hector** 8:1 **ALEXANDRA** 1:9 align 30:20 84:8 alleging 93:12 Allison 8:1 **allocate** 55:4 91:21 92:10 **allow** 8:23 21:10, 16 allowed 20:9 allows 108:23 alluding 63:9 **ALMEIDA** 1:10 alternatives 88:17 **amend** 66:10, 20, 21 67:11 **amended** 19:20 amount 38:19 **amounts** 38:18 **Andrea** 2:4 104:25 **anime** 102:7, 9, 10 **answered** 10:4, 5, 8, 12 31:6 59:19 65:7 82:11, 25 92:23 94:21, 22, 24 95:22 answering 35:11 answers 31:14 35:25 65:15 94:4 anti-abortion 71:13 72:7 85:12 anti-choice 88:25

anti-oppression 25:2 26:1, 7 27:15 29:7 32:25 100:9 101:5 104:5 anti-oppressive 28:8 100:19, 23 101:2, 4, 7, *16*, *21*, *23* 102:*1*, *3*, *9*, 12, 16, 22 anti-racism 21:6 anti-space 27:20 anybody 35:8 anyway 31:2 apart 21:22 **A-political** 102:*15* appear 3:13, 17, 22 appearance 33:13 applicable 107:13 **Applicants** 1:11 2:2 application 7:7 8:22, *25* 9:*18* 10:*3* 19:*10* 20:23 25:3 28:2 29:19 31:17 32:11 36:24 37:2, 8 39:5, 24 40:4 43:25 45:5 47:6 49:15 50:2, 5 51:5, 10, 11 58:3, 25 59:2, 9 60:19, 21, 23, 25 61:4, 7, 19 62:13, 13, 15 63:24 66:2, 7, 10, 12, 20, 21 67:12 68:7, 15 76:13 80:8, 19 81:17 82:9 85:4, 22 87:25 88:21 93:9. *17*, *20* 95:*14* 106:*10* applications 32:6, 7 applied 10:18, 22 **applies** 24:21 61:21 62:9 101:11 apply 24:25 39:3 57:9 63:5 91:15 99:16 **applying** 32:16, 16 57:14 **appreciate** 8:12 36:18 **approach** 61:*14* approaching 43:8 appropriate 12:20 39:7 **approval** 17:10, 17, 19 49:12 58:14, 24,

25 59:8, 9 60:11 62:14 96:2, 12, 15, 16 97:7 98:11.19 99:6 approve 17:5, 15 58:11, 21 60:13, 15, 22, 24 61:6 85:21 95:1 98:2 99:1 **approved** 12:17 17:13 58:10, 20 60:17 61:22 62:4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 16 95:23 98:17, 21 102:2 approving 96:25 97:4 98:8 **April** 106:11 **Archibald** 106:8 107:4 area 95:17 **asked** 31:7 44:21 59:11, 17, 18, 22 61:2 64:18 74:23 96:4 105:9 asking 5:20 8:14, 14, 20 15:7 27:5 30:22 36:*3* 44:24 58:*13* 61:3, 5, 11 64:3 68:3 69:8 70:4, 7, 9, 22 73:13 76:16 79:16 82:20, 23 94:16, 17 101:10 assistance 3:9 associate 48:22 associated 47:25 associates 49:1 associating 91:13 **ASSOCIATION** 1:14 6:2 13:13 19:13 21:13 49:16, 21 50:3, *13* 51:2 53:7, 8 54:18 63:18, 22 65:18 89:25 92:16 95:24 96:17, 23 assume 26:25 63:13, 14 assuming 31:9 63:11 **assumption** 66:13, 19 assumptions 29:24 **assured** 9:15 atmosphere 55:7



attached 42:14 **attempt** 86:19, 21, 23 **attend** 30:15 45:13 60:12 65:19 66:1 69:25 70:1 attendance 43:14 **attended** 72:9. 13 73:7, 8, 16 **attending** 66:6 71:5 97:11 **attention** 16:6 44:10 58:1 102:18 August 7:17 21:8 42:8, 10, 13, 21, 22 46:16 authority 61:5 aware 6:14, 18 19:23, 23 34:9, 12 37:22 38:21 39:15 108:10

< B > **baby** 89:2, 3, 4 back 30:1 45:20 105:10 **background** 26:1, 5 77:8 backgrounds 26:12 bake 90:16, 21 bank 25:24 **bars** 67:5 **base** 38:18 **based** 33:2, 13 36:24 39:4 62:23 63:3, 23 65:21 71:2 85:6 86:9 **basis** 33:10 42:20 43:9 44:5 85:21 89:23 105:18 108:16 **begins** 52:19 **behalf** 50:19 72:13 **behaviour** 55:2 56:21 **belief** 29:10 **beliefs** 20:22 57:5 **believe** 7:22 8:1, 7 10:11, 13 15:15 16:15 36:25 39:1 42:9, 17, 18 43:19 44:20 48:19 64:2, 17 68:4 71:18 72:6, 17,

23 73:17 82:18 86:14 97:17 **believes** 97:9 98:23 benefits 34:25 **best** 5:11, 17 39:1 42:16 43:8 63:5, 6 64:14 65:2 73:14 74:4, 22 87:21 **big** 64:8 blocked 36:2 **board** 12:17 17:7, 10, 13, 16, 19 28:8 35:8 boardroom 7:24 **bodies** 41:22 **body** 25:9 41:25 88:20 103:9, 23 **bookings** 38:10 **bottom** 19:10 **bound** 19:15 **Brad** 8:7 **break** 52:1, 5, 11, 16 83:2 breaks 52:8 **briefly** 45:20 **bring** 26:11 36:19 37:12 43:3 106:3 **broad** 13:22 14:11, 18, 18 **broke** 70:16 **brought** 37:3 75:23 76:7, 10, 17, 18 79:11, 12, 17 **BROWN** 1:9, 9 **bubble** 103:7 **budget** 38:25 budgeted 38:24 **building** 22:11 **built** 29:25 66:13 **by-law** 99:8, 9 100:15, 17 103:2 **by-laws** 12:15 18:9 19:17, 19 20:7 23:6 28:13 29:13 30:20 41:2, 15, 17 54:23 57:10, 14 63:5 76:2 81:11 89:23 90:8, 12, *13*, *22* 91:*4*, *6*, *9*, *15* 94:15 98:7, 10 99:3,

4, 8, 11, 12, 17, 25

100:3, 8, 11, 25, 25 101:6, 15

< C > call 13:12 **Campaign** 47:14, 19 52:25 55:6, 17, 19 57:25 63:18 69:19 70:23 71:5 85:9 campaigned 53:18 campus 13:14, 15 14:25 15:10, 16, 17 16:2, 4 32:5 33:25 35:6, 16, 17 38:15, 17, 22 40:4 48:2, 3, 7, 11, 22 49:1, 1 51:15 53:14 55:9, 19 56:20 58:10, 21 61:15 63:22 64:25 65:18 66:23 79:24 80:5 81:1, 5 87:9 91:3, 9, 20, 24 96:21 97:18, 25 98:4, *13* 102:*19* Canada 34:4, 10, 14 35:*1* 71:*13*, 22 84:23 Canadian 40:20 capacity 23:15 34:5 **carry** 26:7 case 15:2 22:1 24:21 62:20 66:17, *25* 106:20, *25* 107:*13*, *14* 108:2 cases 107:5 Catholic 49:15, 21, 22, 24, 25 50:2, 10, 12, 19 51:1 53:7, 7, 9 **center** 7:25 centre 25:23, 24, 25 centres 89:7 **certain** 55:1 56:3 60:12 82:9, 20 91:20 **certainly** 73:2 75:11 **certificate** 98:14, 15 110:1 **certify** 110:*4* **cetera** 31:3 39:6 81:7 88:20 89:5, 15

chaired 77:24, 25 **chairs** 77:22 challenged 52:21 53:2 challenging 33:1 **change** 87:16 changes 34:2 changing 87:6 **Chantal** 43:24 **child** 34:13 **choose** 53:11 **CHRISTIAN** 1:8, 9 2:6 39:23 73:17, 23 75:13 88:6 Church 49:22 50:10, 19 **Church's** 49:25 cite 25:19 34:11 108:23, 24 cited 35:16 64:15 106:15 citizen 34:5 citizens 34:25 civil 104:3 108:20 clarification 31:16 48:14 82:21 **clarify** 30:12 49:6 **class** 33:3 clear 64:7 93:14 101:9 **clearly** 66:25 client's 20:18 34:20 close 104:16, 19, 23, 24 105:15, 17 107:2 108:13 **closed** 104:21 107:6 **closing** 106:4 108:16 clothes 89:4 **club** 10:25 11:2 12:1, 9 15:16 20:25 21:2, 4 29:11, 15 32:17 34:20 35:6, 16, 17, 21 38:22 39:16 40:5, 9 44:5, 9, 12 46:19 47:4 48:22 49:1. 16 51:7 53:8. 11, 17 55:19, 23 56:12, 19 57:15, 16, 20 58:10, 11, 20, 21



60:11, 12, 14 61:15

90:17

chair 78:3, 10

62:9, 10 63:1, 4 64:5 78:20 81:3, 19, 22 82:3 90:11 94:12, 24 95:7, 12, 14, 16 97:4, 18, 22 98:4 102:7, 9, 14, 17, 17 **clubs** 7:15 12:11 14:25 15:10, 17 16:2, 4 28:21, 21, 22 29:2, 3 30:6, 20 32:6 33:25 38:15, 17 39:2 48:3, 3, 7, 11, 17 50:23 51:15 53:3 60:16 62:16 64:25 96:13, 16 102:10 104:8 **club's** 29:18, 19, 20 58:22, 24 59:9, 10 60:10, 18 61:7, 19 62:12 81:13, 17 82:8, 10, 17, 19 85:6 **Coalition** 65:19 85:9 collaborative 43:22 colleagues 74:23 Collecting 89:3 collectively 101:20 **COLLEGE** 1:14 6:2, 7, 9, 15, 20, 23 7:2, 7, 19, 24 8:16 9:5, 15, *17*, *25* 10:2, *17* 11:*1*, 15, 23 13:15 18:18, 21 19:11, 19, 21 20:7 38:11 89:8, 13 College's 38:1 colonization 41:24 colonized 41:23 come 25:25 45:20 comes 102:18 comfortable 54:7 97:20 **coming** 74:21 90:2 commenced 52:13 83:3 commencing 5:1 commitment 27:2 29:21 46:21 committee 12:16 13:1, 4, 5, 11 39:6 78:10, 11, 14, 18

committing 56:9, 25 **common** 48:*1* communicate 46:10 86:24 community 38:10 52:25 53:14 54:15, 19 55:15 56:4, 7, 9, 20 57:2, 18, 22 71:24 89:8 complete 33:9 106:12 107:21 108:5 Completely 34:22 35:7 97:13 Compliance 19:11 29:12 **complied** 99:18 **comply** 6:24 19:15 20:1 22:20, 22 92:11 comprised 14:10 **concepts** 97:13 concern 44:2.4 55:14 79:22 86:25 93:25 97:3 concerned 56:15 **concerning** 7:7 38:14 45:23 70:3 concerns 44:7, 9, 11 80:4, 13, 18, 24 81:2 86:12, 20, 22, 24 88:2, 3 89:2, 10, 17, 19 **concert** 85:11 concluded 109:2 conclusions 57:9 condition 20:2 conditions 56:17 102:20 **conduct** 105:23 108:19 conductive 22:15 100:14 conference 29:5 **confirm** 10:7 confirmed 10:9 confirming 10:6 **confirms** 11:*15* **conflict** 19:17 32:18 41:2, 15, 17, 20 83:14, 17, 22 89:1 90:7, 8, 10, 13, 22 91:3, 6, 8 conflicting 81:10

conflicts 76:2 89:23 90:11 conforming 100:4 **confused** 92:13 connection 71:4 **consider** 34:1 81:22 consideration 14:20 48:23 82:6 93:20 96:25 98:10, 19, 25 99:5 considered 34:3 **considering** 18:5 95:5 consolidating 106:25 constituted 86:5 consult 7:10 12:24 13:14.21 15:1.2 18:4, 8, 11, 14, 17 74:20 **consultation** 12:19, 22 13:7, 13 17:11 **consulted** 7:6 18:21 108:11 consulting 12:18 consults 7:2 **content** 74:25 contents 5:17 context 24:18, 20 88:21 94:9 continue 6:23 11:17, 24 20:13 21:19 22:3 31:12 37:15 65:14 69:2 106:2, 15 107:24 108:23 contrary 75:24 93:21 94:1 98:23 contributed 56:2 contributes 55:7 **control** 6:10 72:25 conversation 7:20 65:20 69:18 70:2, 20, 21 71:1 76:8, 11 conversations 43:2 64:2, 10, 11, 12 convoluted 32:14 68:24 coordinator 8:3 17:12 **copy** 16:19 **corporation** 101:19, 22

correct 6:16 8:17 11:2 12:11 22:17, 18, 20 24:6, 7, 14 38:4, 11, 12, 15 40:6 44:2, *3* 47:9 50:10 58:4, 5, 8, 12 60:14 61:16 63:19, 24 71:18 73:23 83:20 84:11, 16 94:2 95:25 101:8 110:14 **correctly** 6:12 11:19, 21 33:7 41:11 67:9 83:10 85:18 93:4, 6 **counsel** 3:9 10:15 13:23 14:21 20:8, 20 24:19 27:16 29:23 31:11, 17 33:16 34:17 36:25 44:18 49:5 50:14 58:17 59:17 61:11 64:19 65:8 73:9 76:16 77:20 79:15, 25 82:13 95:13, 18 101:9 104:17 105:9 106:18 107:1 108:9, 12, 14 **country** 33:5 34:4, 6 couple 29:5 52:3 course 13:16 29:9 **Court** 1:1, 4, 19 2:8 37:13 60:5 105:6, 9 106:1, 4 110:4, 20, 21 create 25:1 54:25 60:13 76:3 91:24 92:2, 8 100:12, 21 101:2 102:20 **Creating** 41:18, 21 54:23 55:23 criminalization 42:1 **crosses** 104:22 **Cross-Examination** 1:17 3:5 5:3 94:25 105:17, 19, 21 106:4, *17* 107:21, 24 108:5, cross-examine 106:2. 9, 16 cross-examined 106:12 107:18 crux 61:2 81:8, 9



Corporations 100:6

CULLEN 1:17 3:3 5:2, 4, 8 23:12 30:18 36:8, 9 37:19 43:21 44:18 75:22 76:25 104:15 105:12 C-U-L-L-E-N 5:9 Cullen's 35:25 39:23 current 15:25

< D > Darshika 72:20 77:5. 6, 11 date 7:17 42:7 51:17 64:15, 19, 21 105:20, 25 106:24 **Dated** 110:16 day 1:21 14:9 54:17 103:19 108:6, 7 110:16 **days** 107:9 deal 10:14 62:20, 21 67:19 86:2 **dealing** 35:2 68:1 87:13 dealings 6:11 **death** 40:17 46:22 debated 71:22 **decide** 37:13 55:3 91:19, 21 103:19 **decided** 43:10 45:20 87:14 **decides** 60:12 **decision** 9:6, 10, 10, *17* 43:5 62:22 70:8 86:17 99:22 106:19, 20, 22 107:3, 7, 11, 22 108:11 decisions 26:15 37:4 63:3 decolonization 22:13 41:21 100:13 **Define** 28:23 **defined** 12:5, 8 34:21 **definitely** 41:23, 24 96:24 98:10 definition 33:25 34:10, 14 36:6, 10 53:13 100:22 delegates 48:10

democratically 57:10 denial 20:18 90:25 **denied** 35:21 37:8 62:16 66:7 **denv** 85:3 department 7:16 29:4 48:12 51:16 depending 13:8 **describe** 40:5 42:19 63:17 74:12 83:11, 16 103:24 described 42:21 69:20 78:16, 17 88:4 89:2 **describes** 6:6 83:14 **describing** 83:19, 22 **DESCRIPTION** 4:3 40:6, 13, 14 46:19 50:7 81:18 82:4 determination 31:17 86:9 93:19 107:12, 13 determinative 107:4 determine 85:5 86:11 88:1 91:11 96:1 97:25 104:7, 9 determined 45:18 63:21 94:23 determines 24:13 39:6 103:4 determining 12:20 97:17 development 88:18 diapers 89:4 **dictate** 12:15 81:13 82:10, 19 dictates 81:15 82:17 difference 50:20 **different** 23:25 24:5 31:13 42:12 47:21 51:11 59:6 61:13 80:2 81:23 82:24 96:3 97:13 108:3 **difficult** 53:14 54:2 direct 11:7 direction 19:21 directors 12:17 17:19

disagree 9:23 21:25

31:18 92:4 97:24

98:6 **disagrees** 92:19 99:11 **disapprove** 60:22, 24 61:6 discriminate 57:21 discrimination 55:11 **discuss** 15:5. 12 20:13 43:10 45:20 80:13 93:16 104:20 **discussed** 17:8, 21 27:19 37:7 46:22 47:1, 5 70:6 76:6, 14 87:23 104:18 discussing 20:5 37:16 43:4 45:25 79:22 80:1 98:20 104:17 107:8 **discussion** 43:21, 22 45:4 60:8 76:24 104:13 105:3 109:2 discussions 104:25 disposal 108:7 disproportionately 54:16 55:12 **distributed** 39:4 81:7 diversity 8:2 document 99:8 **documented** 57:23 documents 13:20, 21 15:1, 2 18:4, 15, 18, 22 29:18 32:7, 16, 18 doing 31:19 51:25 56:14, 14 77:9 99:21 **dollars** 39:19 donations 89:3 **draft** 16:9, 13, 14 **draw** 16:6 57:8 drill 54:12 **DURHAM** 1:14 6:2, 6, 9, 15, 20, 23 7:2, 6, 19, 23 8:15 9:5, 15, *16*, *25* 10:2, *17* 11:*15*, 22 13:15 18:17, 21 20:7 38:1, 11 89:7, 13

<E> earlier 53:7 85:8 educated 26:4 educational 26:6 77:7

elected 13:2 48:9 57:11 99:10 **eligible** 39:16 eliminate 66:11 **e-mail** 44:13, 15, 17, 19 e-mails 45:25 embracing 83:24 84:9, 21 embryonic 88:17 **EMILIE** 1:8 enact 12:13, 21 19:16 **enacted** 12:10 **enacting** 14:24 15:18 enactment 15:21 encourage 103:10 encourages 51:16 **endorse** 71:15 endorsements 28:7 **enforce** 28:19, 23, 24 29:9, 17 31:7 99:24 **enforced** 33:25 enforcing 92:21 engaging 56:20 **ensure** 9:2 26:9 28:6, 17 29:21 52:22 53:23 57:11 99:18 100:4, 15, 16 102:20 ensured 9:9 ensuring 28:6 **entire** 47:4 67:3 **entirely** 82:22 108:3 **entitled** 17:3 37:1 58:*3* 106:*1* **entity** 91:18 environment 22:11 29:21 41:18, 21 54:23, 25 55:1 56:2 76:3 100:12, 21 101:3 equal 77:14 **equity** 53:23 escalate 44:10 escalation 7:15 Esq 2:2, 4 establish 83:9 established 61:9 **estimate** 39:2 74:2 euthanasia 88:19



89:15 **evaluate** 48:7 97:19 evaluated 20:6 evaluates 96:2 event 21:8 47:8, 8, 16 49:12 58:14 60:12, 13, 13, 15, 15, 20 61:18 62:14 63:1, 24 64:8 65:19 66:1, 6, 11, 15, 22 67:12 68:8, 12, 16, 21, 22 69:10 71:6, 9, 10, 12, 12 80:6 81:9 87:24 88:4, 14 97:3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 18, 19, 23 98:2, 5, 8, 9, 13, 16, 22 99:1, 6 101:25 103:4 events 39:13 58:3, 12, 22 59:1, 10, 15 60:16, 19, 22, 24 61:4, 7, 22, 25 62:4, 6, 7, 10, 11 63:11 75:8, 9 79:23 80:5, 8, 14, 25 81:5, 13, 16, 25 82:8, 17, 19 87:24 90:12, 16, 18 94:14, 23 95:1, 15, 23 96:12, 15, 16, 18, 25 104:7, 8 **exactly** 39:25 67:24 74:24 examination 19:1 95:22 105:23 106:13 108:19 110:11 examinations 104:21 **example** 11:13 25:22 71:17 72:1 102:6, 7 exclusion 22:23 exclusively 32:11 **executive** 12:16, 23 13:1, 11 15:20 16:1 17:5, 9, 15, 22 18:4, 21 20:21 21:14, 22 24:11 26:14 43:3, 4, 11, 14 44:1 45:21 46:11, 14, 16 51:19 62:12 64:25 77:17. 18 78:6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 18 83:8 98:6, 23 99:1, 14, 20, 23 100:1,

8, 18, 24 101:7, 11, 16, 25 103:5 executives 86:15 101:18 104:6 **exhaustive** 33:11, 17 **Exhibit** 12:5 15:17 16:7, 10, 11 19:1, 8, 9 37:23, 25 39:22 44:14, 16 88:5 **EXHIBITS** 4:1 19:5 exist 11:17, 17, 24 12:1, 2 51:3 103:7 **existence** 21:9 54:5 exists 34:24 **expand** 36:22 expect 49:2 expenses 39:7 experience 24:17 34:6 experiences 54:1 **expert** 36:8 **explain** 53:*1* explained 31:8 explaining 27:24 **explicitly** 56:19 72:6 exploration 95:18 **explore** 37:1 70:21 95:14, 17 exploring 35:18 **exposed** 29:15 92:9 exposing 30:6 express 11:18, 22, 25 91:20 expressed 99:8 **expresses** 97:23 98:5, 22 **expressing** 92:18 93:4 expression 91:3.8 92:3 93:21 95:10 extensively 47:1 **extent** 48:16 82:9, 20 extremely 65:14 < F > **facing** 55:11

fact 9:11 63:10

facts 67:19 107:15, 16

69:22 71:20

faculty 96:20

fade 75:6

fair 27:23 30:7 50:21 **faith** 50:18 108:16 familiar 15:22 38:8 39:25 51:*1* **Family** 71:17 **fault** 32:3 **Fedosoff** 2:8 110:3, 21 **feel** 19:2 48:13 53:15 54:2 55:7 68:23 92:9, 18 93:5 95:17 96:18 97:2, 10, 16, 20 **feeling** 54:6, 7 91:19 feels 97:6 98:6 101:25 **felt** 56:1 Feminist 17:4, 6 20:5, 13 fertilization 40:17 46:22 fertilized 46:21 **fetal** 88:18 **figure** 67:23 **File** 1:1 **filed** 73:21 108:2 **final** 104:8 **find** 71:9 **findings** 46:11 **fine** 19:2 30:5 **finish** 69:1 105:21 106:16 **fit** 67:8 **flag** 48:14, 18 49:3 **flagged** 44:2, 4 **focus** 71:10 folks 7:23 25:22, 25 47:20 55:14 57:22 99:7 **follow** 66:4 99:12 **followed** 100:15, 17 **following** 3:7, 13, 17, 22 36:12 **followup** 104:19 food 25:24 89:4 **force** 36:20 37:12 **foregoing** 110:5, 13

forgot 85:10, 10 **form** 41:1 50:10 **formal** 17:17 43:2 **formally** 43:11 forms 33:2, 19 **formula** 89:5 **forth** 110:7 **forward** 16:1 17:2 66:3 87:4 forwarded 17:9 **Framework** 17:4. 6 20:5, 13 102:23 frankly 80:11 95:9 105:22 108:22 **free** 22:11 29:21 41:18, 21 54:24 67:7, 7 76:3 92:2 100:12, *21* 101:*3* **freedom** 41:12 83:25 84:10, 13, 14 95:10 freely 91:13 front 27:7 44:13 50:5 67:17 68:2 **fulfill** 23:1 26:8 **full** 34:5, 25 **fully** 53:16 **fund** 91:17 fundamental 40:21 63:19, 23 69:21 76:13 87:5, 15 103:25 **fundamentally** 99:11 **funding** 6:23 16:4 38:15, 18, 22 55:4, 16, *17*, *18*, *19* 91:5 93:*13* fundraisers 90:15 **funds** 39:4

89:6, 13 102:5



forget 73:19

given 26:12 42:6 62:21, 23 69:14 82:16 gives 81:21 **giving** 18:6 **globally** 40:19 goal 22:9, 10 Good 5:4, 5 52:5 108:16 **govern** 14:25 governing 12:11 **governs** 93:15 **grant** 9:17 granting 10:3 **grants** 39:13 **ground** 103:19 **group** 10:19 37:22 38:9, 10 40:5, 6, 12, *19*, *23* 41:5 46:*19* 48:21, 25 50:7, 17, 18 51:8 54:8 57:6 64:3 81:18 93:11, 12, 16 98:2*1* 101:2*4* 102:*1*, groups 24:17, 25 25:7 37:23 47:21

groups 24:17, 25 25:7 37:23 47:21 48:2, 8 54:4 91:5 93:1 104:3 group's 32:16 guess 29:25 38:6 59:25 60:3 61:10 68:4 69:24 93:18 101:10 guidance 12:25

guide 3:8 22:17

guidelines 26:19

guys 64:4 67:3

<H>half 8:11, 13 74:2, 3 hand 12:7 happen 7:13 happened 51:23 97:6 happens 62:2 hard 54:12 HAVILAND 1:8 head 51:14 health 25:24 33:6 heard 41:3 106:11 hearing 74:12 78:17, 18, 19 79:5 82:18, 18 86:16 107:19 hearings 77:17 78:22 79:3 Hector-Alexander 8:2 help 54:25 HEPWORTH 1:9 HIBBS 1:8 hire 25:22 hired 77:6

hire 25:22 hired 77:6 historically 40:19 history 103:16 hoc 42:20 43:9, 12 46:20 47:10 hold 81:5 holding 90:16 holds 77:17, 18 holistic 93:19 holistically 81:20 82:6 85:6 86:8 hope 49:2 host 104:2

hostility 52:19, 20 53:12 hosting 94:13 hour 74:3, 3 human 40:21 46:21 humans 35:1 hypothetical 11:8 41:8 62:3 92:22

hypothetically 62:19

93:15 95:20

<I>idea 60:21 81:21 Ideas 58:4 59:1 61:19 81:25 88:14 identify 20:12 53:15 55:15 77:24 identities 34:7 54:1, 5 ifs 11:9 ignore 66:18 immigration 33:4 impact 21:2, 4, 4 impacts 10:25 implemented 29:4 102:19 implication 40:23 implications 21:23 importance 96:22 **important** 23:7 86:7 importantly 22:1 95:21 106:7 **imposing** 100:24 **improper** 44:25 105:23, 23 108:19 **improperly** 30:8, 10, 24 35:6 incidental 22:14 100:14 include 28:16 37:2 66:22 84:14 included 8:15 46:24 58:2 68:16, 22 includes 39:8 59:1, *15* 75:*13* including 21:5 98:24 107:19 inclusion 8:2 incorporated 100:5 103:23 increases 56:3 incredibly 34:16 indefinite 106:9 indefinitely 108:24 independently 45:16 indeterminate 105:25 **INDEX** 3:11, 15, 20 4:1 indicate 9:25 10:17, 21 42:24 45:5 50:16 65:25 66:5, 9 67:10 70:23 71:4 **indicated** 70:12, 19 73:6 indifferent 102:15 **individual** 21:*14* 34:3 56:12, 22 57:3 91:12 individuals 8:14 30:15 54:15 55:6, 10 56:10 57:16 91:19

informal 43:*1* information 38:14 50:24 63:3 67:20 69:14 81:6 88:4, 8, 9, 12, 15 89:20 **informing** 8:21, 25 inherently 88:8 90:20, 22 102:8 initial 32:6 initiates 12:16 **initiating** 13:6, 9 17:18 **input** 17:23 18:1, 6 95:9 **Insofar** 51:*3* **instance** 21:5 51:13 53:6 98:12 108:20 instances 56:17 **Institute** 71:*16* institutions 8:23 9:7 104:3 insurance 98:14, 16 **intended** 88:13 **intention** 86:16, 18 **intentions** 56:13, 24 interfering 82:14 **internal** 6:11 15:1 interpret 23:17 57:10 63:6 100:3 interpretation 22:25 24:3, 8, 10, 14, 24, 25 99:17 100:25 interpretations 23:25 24:5 interpreted 41:24 interprets 100:9 intervention 41:25 invited 37:5 **Inviting** 89:12 **involve** 93:20 **involvement** 49:15, 19 irrelevant 13:25 34:20, 22 35:7 36:5, 6, 21 irrespective 53:5 62:1 82:3 **issue** 7:6 13:8, 19, 24 35:2 37:6 45:18

inform 69:8



47:2 69:23, 24 71:21,

93:2, 3

24 90:2

individual's 97:1

indoctrination 30:25

inferred 68:17 88:22.

22 76:6 79:11, 12, 17 88:11 90:3 91:1 97:15 98:21 issues 35:5 37:1, 2 48:12, 19 76:14 85:25 87:15 88:19 89:14 90:2 100:2

< J >**James** 43:24 **January** 16:16 17:3 22:2 jeopardize 106:5 jeopardized 97:2 jeopardv 96:24 **JESSE** 1:17 3:3 5:2, 8 39:22 **J-E-S-S-E** 5:9 **iob** 100:2 JOSHUA 1:8 **journals** 103:13 **judge** 106:8 judgement 63:5 judgements 57:9 **judgment** 48:18 57:14 99:21 iudgments 57:13 **JUSTICE** 1:4 106:8 107:3

< K > **KASSIA** 1:10 KATHLEEN 1:9 **kept** 72:13 **key** 66:6 **Kim** 8:5 kind 12:19, 22, 24 13:20 25:8 26:19 43:5 52:17 63:8, 9 78:22 90:19 **kinds** 77:25 **KKK** 82:1 knowledge 5:18 27:11 42:16 46:2 64:14 87:22 known 55:5 knows 31:5

< L >

laid 32:11 **lasted** 74:1 late-term 41:6 **law** 34:3, 10, 22 35:1 36:7, 10 laws 34:14 107:13 lawver 36:8 99:15 **lead** 43:20 76:24 **leadership** 29:5 48:10 **learn** 35:24 49:20 55:9 leaving 80:14, 20 **left** 73:3 **legal** 36:8 40:20 83:24 84:9, 22 107:11, 12 **length** 27:19 47:5 lens 25:2 100:9, 19 101:2, 7, 16, 21, 23 102:1, 3, 23 **letters** 18:12 23:6 99:9 **level** 17:20 **LGBT** 52:25 **LGBTQ** 25:24 53:15 54:14 55:15 57:2, 17, 21 71:23 **library** 103:8 lies 17:19 **life** 8:7 35:3 45:12 46:4, 21 47:14, 15 52:25 55:17, 20 58:1 63:17, 18, 22 65:18, 19 67:12 68:8, 16 69:19, 23, 25 70:1, 24 71:5, 6, 9, 10, 12 80:6 85:9, 9 87:23 88:18 89:14 **Life's** 47:19 likelihood 56:13, 24 **limited** 55:4 91:22 listed 15:15, 17 60:23, 25 61:7 62:5, 5, 15 63:10 82:8 85:18 87:24 **listen** 58:16 **listing** 63:24 lists 73:22, 22

literature 25:9

103:10, 24

litigation 13:23 14:5, 7, 8, 15 20:11 35:6 36:23 live 53:24 lives 54:19 local 108:11, 14 **long** 9:12 47:10 65:14 73:25 **longer** 73:2 **looked** 103:23 looking 40:12 52:16 72:2 100:20 **Lorraine** 2:8 110:3, 21 **lost** 54:19 **lot** 12:10 21:3 29:24 77:11 103:14 **lots** 81:23

< M > **M5H** 1:21 MacIsaac 8:8 **made** 13:1 64:7 97:2, 16 110:10 **making** 9:5, 17 13:21 26:14 40:23 57:13 58:7 92:17 manager 13:3, 3 72:20 77:6 mandate 23:2 26:2, 7, 10 27:12, 17, 22, 23 28:9, 12 29:11, 19, 20 31:7 40:10, 24 45:11 47:6 48:8 50:7, 16, 22 53:4, 23 56:1, 1 57:8, 12, 20 63:19, 23 69:21 76:2 81:2, 9, *14. 15. 19. 22* 82:*10.* 17, 19 83:14, 14 84:8 87:5, 6, 16 88:10 89:20, 22 90:3, 11, 13, 24 91:16, 16, 21, 24 92:1, 8, 10, 12, 13, 21, 24 93:4, 22 94:1, 24 100:4 102:14, 16, 25 103:25 **mandates** 48:10 **March** 1:18, 21 5:14

45:12 46:4 47:15

63:17 65:19 67:12

68:8, 16 69:23, 25 70:1 71:6, 8, 10, 11 72:2, 4, 6 74:15 80:6 85:9 87:23 110:16 marginalization 56:3 marginalize 57:21 102:24 103:1 marginalized 24:16, 25 25:6 53:24 56:7 103:14 **margins** 53:25 mark 18:25 **Marked** 4:5 16:7 19:3 marriage 50:1 71:17, 21 72:5 Marty 2:2 massive 103:9 matter 5:15, 16 7:6 20:22 45:21 106:25 107:1.8 matters 7:3 24:21 42:23 107:4, 7, 16, 20 means 5:23 23:13, 17 27:24 33:1 36:13 54:13 **meant** 3:8 meet 48:8 86:15 meeting 8:16 9:12 10:1 17:22 42:3, 4, 12, 13, 17, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25 43:3, 4, 11, 12, 21 45:14, 16, 21 46:14, 16, 20 47:11 64:24 65:4 67:15, 16, 22 68:13 70:7 72:10, 11, 14, 14, 22 73:5, 16, 23, 25 75:1, 14, 15 76:15, 25 77:2, 11, 12, 23, 25 78:3, 4, 7, 11, 14, 17 79:8, 21 80:1, 13, 14, 21 83:7 85:16 86:10, 13, 18 87:20 meetings 67:22 77:16, 22, 25 78:5 79:3 member 53:11 56:12. 19, 23 79:13 100:1 members 13:12 17:13, 15, 22 21:15



43:13 44:1 46:11

48:18 52:22 53:2, 9. 14, 24 54:14 56:3, 6, 7, 8, 19 57:4 73:6, 7, 15 74:20 memorandum 9:3 **memories** 75:6, 9 memorized 12:6 memory 73:15 75:2, mental 33:6 mention 42:3 mentioned 9:3 28:15 44:7 69:17 76:22 mentions 27:2 **Meri** 8:4 met 7:18 23:1 43:9 45:17 micromanage 48:15 **middle** 52:18 mind 60:5 87:7, 17 mindset 21:21 **minute** 108:6 minutes 9:13. 14 16:9 42:14, 22 52:4 73:22 74:3 mischaracterizing 35:22 36:1 miscommunication 65:10 mishearing 59:20 misstatement 9:23 misstating 9:19 mistaken 42:10 misunderstanding 92:5 **Mm-hmm** 95:2 moment 25:14 60:6 105:7 money 38:24 89:5 90:17 **months** 79:1 Moore 2:2 3:5 5:3 9:21, 24 10:5, 15, 16 11:6, 10 14:1, 4, 12, *17*, *19*, *23* 15:8, *14* 16:11, 14, 17, 20, 24. 25 19:2, 7 20:16, 20 21:21 22:3, 5 23:14, 19, 23 24:20, 22 25:17 27:10, 25 30:3,

9, 13, 22 31:4, 9, 15, 20, 23 32:2, 4 33:18, 23 34:8, 16, 23 35:10, 13, 24 36:9, 17, 25 37:18 40:2, 3 41:10 44:21 45:3 49:8 50:8, 25 51:25 52:11, 15 58:9, 18, 19 59:5, 7, 13, 16, 21, 25 60:3, 7, 9 61:12 63:15 64:22 65:9, 16 69:11 70:11, 15, 18 73:12 75:21 76:19, 23 78:1, 5, 12 79:17, 20 80:3, 9, 16, 22, 23 82:13 83:2, 5 84:20 92:25 93:18, 23 94:6, 8, 11, 19 95:2, 13, 25 96:6, 10, 11 97:9, 14 101:13, 14 104:12, 14, 23 105:4, 8 106:18, *23* 108:9 109:*1* morning 5:4, 5, 11 motion 10:14 36:20 37:12 106:4 move 30:12 37:19 52:5 66:3 87:4 movie 90:16 moving 52:17 multitude 100:2

< N >**NAGGAR** 1:8 2:6 75:13 Naggar's 39:23 88:6 names 85:11 **narrow** 30:5 **natural** 40:17 46:22 **nature** 7:20 **necessary** 26:6 106:3 needed 83:9 **needs** 6:24 **Neeson** 1:19 110:20 **Neither** 6:9 108:10 **new** 51:19 52:6 67:6, 25 87:3 95:4 **nights** 90:16 non-ratified 95:12 **normally** 77:15

note 36:7 72:9

noted 3:12, 17, 21 21:12 106:14 notes 10:13 45:22 72:11, 13, 14, 17, 21, 24 73:4, 22 74:7 110:14 notice 36:24 NUMBER 4:3 13:7 42:23 53:3 62:12, 17 81:3, 11 83:13, 21 84:6 numbers 39:18

< 0 > objecting 14:10 105:16 objections 82:14 110:10 obligation 52:21 53:22 108:22 **obligations** 6:19, 24 occur 42:4 45:14, 15 56:18 108:3 occurred 21:8 64:12, *13* 75:9 107:*19* **occurs** 31:2 **offhand** 7:17 34:11 39:18 **officers** 101:18 **offices** 1:19 **old** 78:24 103:17, 22 Oliver 8:5 Olivia 8:6 **online** 81:5 **ONTARIO** 1:3, 20 108:12, 14 **open** 47:24 53:4, 9 71:14 105:18 **openly** 57:7 71:14 **operate** 25:23 operating 38:25 operations 13:3 **opinion** 104:20 107:23 opinions 92:2, 3, 9 97:24 98:5, 22 99:13 **opportunity** 17:23, 25 40:9 **opposed** 91:2, 5

opposing 54:4 71:23 72:5 opposite 101:4 **oppress** 103:*1* oppressed 40:19 102:2*1* oppresses 103:4 oppression 22:12 23:5, 11, 17 24:1, 4, 8, 15, 17 25:1 28:20 29:1, 16, 22 30:16 32:9, 20 33:2, 10, 13, 20, 24 34:24 35:15, 23 36:4, 11 40:18 41:1, 19 54:10, 24 76:4 98:25 100:13, 22 101:3, 4 103:10, 16, 24 oppressive 34:2 102:5, 8, 16 103:20, 20 oral 78:19, 22 79:3, 5 **Orally** 46:13 86:16 **order** 17:16 54:9 106:1, 7, 24 organization 11:16, 23 12:3, 5, 8 20:19, 25 23:2 26:8 53:21 54:3 55:3 57:12 71:19 73:2 77:9, 22 81:10 92:12 99:10, 22 100:5, 20 101:17 103:21 104:1, 2 organizations 11:14 38:2 53:17 55:5 57:8 71:16 104:4 organizer 47:15 **origin** 33:5 **outcome** 108:3 **outlined** 70:25 Outreach 25:22 27:13 72:21 77:5 outset 94:25 95:22 outward 52:24 57:2 overly 14:11, 18

< P > p.m 109:4 package 42:4, 5, 9 43:5, 10 47:5 57:7 58:7 62:6, 22, 24



63:4, 11 65:22, 23 67:1, 5, 8, 19, 20, 25 68:1, 19 69:9 71:1, 2 80:8 81:18, 21 82:5, 9 85:25 86:1, 3, 8, 17, *25* 87:*3*, *10* 102:*18* packages 66:24 67:6 pages 3:13, 17, 22 **paragraph** 6:5, 5, 8 11:12 22:6, 7 28:3 32:22, 24 37:20 38:13 42:2, 20 45:9 46:20, 25 52:17, 18 63:16 72:10 83:7, 10 85:17, 19 parenting 88:16 89:6 part 26:2, 2 29:8, 11 30:19 41:16 47:5, 7 51:8 56:16 63:19, 23, 25 64:1 69:20, 21 76:11, 13 77:18 92:7 participate 53:16 54:3 55:9 91:14 participated 79:5 participating 91:13 **particular** 7:5 13:19 19:24 20:2 22:8 25:21 47:8 62:14, 20 66:11 90:19 98:20 particularly 49:24 54:15 55:10 partners 13:16 partner's 26:3 patent 18:12 23:6 99:9 paths 21:19, 20 peer 103:12 **pending** 105:24 106:10, 23 107:2, 11 **people** 26:11 34:24 72:12 91:12 98:13 102:21, 25 103:1, 5, 13 **period** 21:5 35:7 61:23 79:2 95:24 **permits** 106:15 permitted 35:17 106:9 perpetrated 54:21 56:18

person 34:3, 10, 13, 21 36:7, 10 64:3 92:17, 19 **personal** 57:5 75:2, 3 91:19 personally 7:10 23:17 46:5 49:18 91:11 **persons** 53:19 person's 27:6 perspective 8:22 25:7, 19 26:12, 13 28:20 30:16 32:8 Petrie 8:6 **ph** 77:8 **phones** 45:19 phrasing 14:20 **physical** 33:5, 13 54:8 97:1 **place** 29:12 40:8 54:2 55:8, 23 110:6 **planned** 85:12 **planning** 43:*3* 51:*15* point 15:6 20:4 25:5 53:13 67:14 80:15 82:21 83:13, 16, 19, 21 84:6, 17 **pointed** 108:12 **points** 83:9, 11 85:2 86:6, 7 87:19 **policies** 8:24 9:4, 17 10:2, 18, 22 12:6, 8, *11*, *14*, *17*, *20* 13:21 14:14, 15, 24 15:4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 16 16:1 17:1 19:12, 16, 18 20:6 21:4, 23 40:15 68:9 94:15 98:6, 9 99:3, 4, *17* 102:24 **policy** 11:15 12:16 13:6, 8, 25 15:19, 21 17:3, 4, 6, 14, 15, 18 18:3, 5, 6 20:4, 6, 10, *14*, *14* 21:*1*, *6*, *9* 38:*1*, *3* 41:7, *14* 67:2 68:18 98:16, 18 102:19 **political** 103:*15* **pop** 103:19

pose 95:20

position 9:1 23:19 37:17 75:23, 24 76:1 77:16, 19 83:1, 18, 18 85:16 88:23, 25 108:4 positive 27:3, 12, 13 28:9, 12, 17 positives 44:8 possibility 75:11 107:6 **possible** 25:14 80:25 **possibly** 33:14 100:3 **post** 81:4 pot 38:24 potential 48:3 55:18 potentially 21:2 23:25 90:10 93:25 107:14 **practice** 26:5, 16, 17 33:1 48:1 78:2 **practices** 26:18, 20 27:4, 4 28:15, 15, 16 pregnancy 41:13 84:15, 17 88:16 89:6 prejudice 52:24 57:2. 17 **prepared** 73:22, 23 prescriptive 101:1 **Present** 2:6 40:9 86:16 88:13 presentations 89:13 presented 67:20 87:14 presents 60:11 preside 77:16 **presided** 77:2, 3, 4, 11 president 23:20 51:19 77:16, 19, 22 78:*3*, *25* 91:*15* 99:*10* presumably 21:5 46:15 **pretty** 47:24 prevent 34:4 **prevents** 34:2 87:8 previous 92:23 previously 82:16 **principle** 23:7, 9 27:21

principles 22:8, 16, 19, 20, 23, 24 23:4 27:18, 19 89:24 **prior** 43:2 51:23 83:6 **problem** 88:8, 23 90:14, 18 91:7, 12 problematic 53:21 57:24 procedure 15:17 37:10 67:2 108:21 **procedures** 8:24 9:5 68:9, 18 99:18 proceedings 109:4 110:5 **proceeds** 90:23 process 10:19 12:19, 22 13:7, 13 15:18, 22 17:17, 19 29:8 32:11 47:6 49:7, 9, 11, 12 58:14 61:4 62:1, 7 65:14 79:21 80:1 82:15 96:16 98:11, 19 **produce** 103:9 produces 54:25 **program** 56:16 **pro-life** 44:5, 12 46:19 83:17 84:8 88:23 **proper** 29:24 31:1, 16 36:17 82:22 **proposed** 20:14, 18 34:20 45:12 59:1 60:20 66:22 94:24 104:8 proposing 57:6 **prospective** 21:7. 19. **provide** 25:15 30:14 87:18 provided 74:6 **Providing** 88:*15* **province** 37:11 **provision** 19:24 20:2 77:8 purpose 3:9 purposes 22:15 38:10 39:5, 13 100:14



put 16:*1* 17:2 27:7 96:*4* 110:7 **putting** 18:*5* 50:22

< Q > **question** 8:11, 13 10:8 11:4 13:22 14:6, 7 15:4 25:15 29:24 30:5, 12, 23 31:6, 10, 13, 22 32:14 35:4 36:18, 24 37:13, 14, 24 38:6 45:8 47:4 50:6, 21 51:18 58:17 59:4, 6, 12, 22 60:4 65:7 66:14 68:4, 5 69:7, 12 70:10 80:11 82:11, 22, 24, 25 84:4 91:25 92:6, 14, 23 93:8 94:9, 15, 18, 19, 22 95:4, 5 96:4 questioning 16:8 35:19 82:15 107:5 109:2 questionings 107:2 questions 3:12, 16, 21 5:21 13:24 14:10, 20 15:13 16:23 20:9 21:10, 16 35:12 36:2, *3*, *6* 37:6 50:23 64:4 70:5, 9 95:10 101:12 104:16, 20 105:1, 13 question's 38:4 68:23 quite 27:22 93:7 95:*11* 101:*1* **quote** 88:13

<R>
race 33:3
raise 90:17
raised 36:9 79:16
107:7
rally 71:13, 15 72:8
82:1
ratification 10:19
20:18 29:8 30:20
47:4 49:7, 9, 19
51:17, 23 57:7 58:7
61:20 62:2, 6, 17, 22,
23 63:2, 4, 11 64:5, 6

65:21, 21, 23 66:2, 24 67:1, 6, 8, 16, 18, 20, 25 68:1, 19 69:9 71:1, 2 76:12 78:20 79:4 81:21 85:7, 24 86:1, 3, 5, 8, 17, 24 87:3, 9 90:25 94:22 102:17 ratifications 68:10 87:13 ratified 34:21 35:7 48:17 49:1 51:9, 12, 20 63:1, 1 66:15 67:24 85:6 93:11, 12, 15 94:12 95:6, 8, 15, 16 96:13, 16 97:4 ratify 9:2 51:4, 13 59:14 61:9, 10, 14, 14, 18, 18 65:23 70:9 82:2 85:14 ratifying 82:7 **reach** 34:4 reaching 34:5 **read** 6:12 11:19 26:25 28:10 32:24 33:7 75:19 89:8, 15 103:11 105:10 **reading** 22:9 105:5 real 55:14 **reality** 86:7 93:17 really 21:15 reason 21:11 35:16 66:6 67:15 68:20 69:20 90:25 105:18, 20 107:5 reasonably 99:14, 16 100:3 reasons 35:21 37:3, 7, 8 62:12, 17 64:16 66:8 85:13 recall 7:19 73:25 79:7, 10 receive 38:17, 22 39:16 **received** 7:15 8:21 9:1 39:16 65:22, 24 67:*1*

receiving 6:23 92:15

Recess 52:13 83:3

recite 25:9 44:15

recognition 10:25 11:14, 18, 22, 25 14:25 15:9 32:17 38:2 60:19 **recognize** 10:24 12:7 33:19 recognized 12:3, 4 28:21, 22 34:13 35:1 38:15 97:18, 22 98:4 recognizes 11:23 34:23 recollection 43:9, 15 44:6 65:3 73:14 74:4, 5, 8, 11, 22 reconsidered 67:13 **record** 5:7 10:12 19:10 21:18 28:2 52:9 60:6, 8 104:12, *13* 105:2, *3*, *5* 106:*19* **recorded** 74:6, 12, 15 110:11 recovering 49:24 **re-examine** 107:23 refer 23:9 40:16 84:12 reference 22:7, 9 27:3 28:16 44:22 46:18 54:6 64:16 106:21 referenced 23:7 42:13 53:6 106:19 **references** 37:21 84:7 **Referencing** 26:22 38:7 52:23 54:7, 8 65:5 80:7 referred 43:25 **referring** 5:22, 25 26:20 64:11 84:13. **reflect** 28:8 29:20 **reframe** 25:15 **refusal** 10:11 31:10 105:*17* **refusals** 3:8, 20 **refuse** 21:17 105:22 **refused** 3:21 37:12 **refuses** 10:24 **refusing** 30:8 36:5

regards 7:5 14:24 28:14 35:15 60:18 65:5 90:15 92:21 93:1 registrar 8:8 regulations 19:18 **Reina** 16:8 26:23, 24 28:2 42:15 83:7 **Reina's** 44:16 **rejected** 87:11 89:22 **relate** 13:23 16:1 **related** 14:7, 8 88:9 **relates** 89:20 91:16 93:17 **relating** 14:4, 15 15:9 90:12 **relation** 93:8 94:23 relationship 6:6 release 106:23 107:2 relevance 20:10 21:15 93:8 95:12 relevant 21:23 22:2 25:12 34:15, 16, 19 35:4, 14 36:23 37:14 48:22 49:2 50:1, 1 76:22 95:4, 18 religion 33:4 **remember** 54:18 73:4, 7, 14 75:10 87:21 remembered 74:24 remembrance 54:17 **remove** 65:18 67:11 **removed** 66:14 renewal 32:6, 17 49:11 50:2 51:5 **repeat** 8:11 10:10 11:3 31:12 44:18 59:22 73:10 84:2, 4 94:5 101:13 repeating 30:1 101:12 **rephrase** 32:*13* **REPORTED** 2:8 **Reporter** 2:8 60:5 105:6, 9 110:4, 21 **REPORTER'S** 110:*1* **Reporting** 1:19 110:20



37:9 108:8

represent 53:22 representative 7:19 representatives 7:11 8:16 13:2 57:11 reproductive 83:25 84:9, 12 requested 86:14 requests 3:12, 16, 21 require 28:25 29:10, 20 32:5, 7, 15, 18 36:20 96:17 98:12 105:24 107:23 108:18 **required** 101:6, 15 requirement 30:19 requirements 26:6 **requires** 108:13 re-ratify 68:22 re-ratifying 67:5 reread 75:16 research 13:16 45:11, 15, 18, 23 46:3 47:13, *18* 48:2, *16* 71:8, *14*, 20 resource 25:24 resources 12:24 55:4 88:16 91:22 92:11, *16*, *17* 93:2 94:*14* respect 32:8 33:21, 23 90:3 107:10 respondent 21:13 **Respondents** 1:15 2:4responsibilities 28:5 48:11 responsibility 104:9 responsible 13:6 rest 14:9, 16 108:6 restate 30:7. 9. 11 65:13, 15 restrict 40:24 restriction 40:25 restrictions 41:4, 5, 12 84:24 **resubmit** 68:7, 15 69:9 87:16 resuming 52:14 83:4 retrospective 21:20 97:7 **return** 105:25 returning 51:16

review 42:3 43:10 45:15, 23 reviewed 45:6, 10 46:5, 8 73:20 103:12 **revised** 19:20 **Rexhmataj** 16:8 Rexhmataj's 83:8 **rights** 17:11 53:19 55:6 71:23 **Rihanna** 26:23 **role** 18:3 77:18 91:15 103:5, 6 104:6, **roles** 26:8 room 7:23 8:4 38:10 74:24 rose 58:1 **rule** 96:19 106:15 108:13, 15, 20, 23 **Rules** 19:11, 16, 18 20:7 106:2 108:18, 20

Ryerson 106:20

107:3, 11, 22 108:11

< S > **SA** 6:1, 7, 14, 18, 22 7:2, 6 10:1, 1, 19, 24 12:1, 10, 13, 21, 23, 25 15:1 18:5, 8, 11, 21 20:1 21:1, 14, 22 22:17, 19, 22 23:6, 20 24:11, 23 25:7, 18 26:14 27:12 28:10, 11, 19 29:9, 17, 19 30:14, 19 31:24 32:5, 15, 18, 25 33:19 34:23 35:8, 13, 14 36:11 40:10 41:14 43:13 44:1 46:11 48:2, 6, 8, 9 49:17 51:4, 8 53:2, 9 58:1, 11, 21 60:11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 21, 24 61:6, 6, 9, 14, 17, 17, 22 62:11 67:3, 10 68:6, 14 72:13, 25 73:7 74:21 77:17, 17 78:3, 21

79:3, 12 80:4, 24

81:14, 21 83:8, 15

84:21 85:3, 21 86:15 87:18 88:1, 3 90:18 91:2, 17, 18, 23 92:1, 7, 20 93:2, 15 94:12, 14, 14, 25 95:15 96:16 97:4, 9, 17, 19, 23, 25 98:1, 6, 7, 22, 24 99:1, 2, 3, 23 100:8, 18 101:7, 10, *16*, *17*, *25* 102:20 103:3, 5, 7, 18, 22 104:6 **safe** 26:19 40:20 54:2, 7 safety 52:22 53:2 54:8 96:20, 23 97:2, 12 **sale** 90:21 sales 90:16 **Sanche** 2:4 9:19, 22 10:4, 7 11:5, 8 13:22 14:3, 6, 13, 18 15:3, 11 16:10, 12, 16, 18, 22 18:25 19:4 20:8, *17* 21:3, 25 23:12, 16, 21 24:18 25:11 27:5, 16 29:23 30:4, 18, 24 31:5, 11, 18, 21, 25 32:3 33:15, 21 34:15, 18 35:5, 11, 20 36:5, 15, 19 37:5 40:1 41:8 44:17, 23 49:5 50:4. 14 52:3. 9 58:16 59:3, 11, 14, 18, *23* 60:*1* 61:*8* 63:*13* 64:18 65:7, 12 69:1, 4 70:4, 13, 16 73:9 75:16, 19 76:16, 21 77:20 78:4, 7 79:15, 19, 25 80:7, 10, 20 82:11, 23 84:18 92:22 93:7 94:3, 7, 10, 17, 21 95:3, 19 96:3, 8 97:5 101:9 104:18 105:2, 16 106:21 107:10 108:17 **SANCHES** 14:22 **SA's** 6:10 11:18, 22

20 32:8, 19 36:3 40:15 41:7 52:21 75:24 78:23 80:18 82:10 83:18, 23 84:7, 8 85:16 92:24 93:3, 21 94:1 **Scholars** 24:16, 24 25:6 school 55:9 schools 9:4 **scope** 48:*13* 82:22 **scratch** 96:14 scrutinized 95:15 search 77:8 **section** 28:3 38:1, 5, 7 40:6 52:6 58:2 60:21, 23, 25 seek 24:23 26:13 64:4 97:25 100:15, 21 **seeking** 9:1 28:21 30:9, 10 31:15 35:24 36:1 86:11 94:20 95:13, 16 96:1, 6 100:16 102:24 103:1 seeks 36:11 97:23 98:5, 21 99:24 102:23 Selvasivan 72:20 send 17:14 sense 14:16 20:24 **sentence** 6:7 52:18 separate 77:21 separately 61:18 **September** 64:*12*, *23* 70:7 72:10 73:6 74:11 83:6 85:16 86:10 87:20 **Services** 25:23 27:13 72:21 set 38:14 85:17 99:17 110:6 **setting** 74:21 sex 50:1 71:21 72:5 sexual 25:24 sexuality 33:3 **shade** 75:9 **Shorthand** 110:*3*, *14* **shortly** 7:14 42:5, 11



22:8 27:2 29:1, 15,

shoulders 102:*11* **show** 10:12 showers 89:2 sic 6:2 63:22 65:18 **side** 8:7 47:1 **similar** 104:*4* **simply** 17:21 36:12 69:22 108:8 Simultaneous 60:2 single 39:16 102:12 **sit** 13:4 **smoker** 52:7 **social** 26:2, 3 societal 22:12 23:5, 11, 17 24:1, 4, 8, 14 25:1 28:20 29:1, 16, 16, 22 30:16 32:9, 19 33:24 35:15 41:19 54:24 76:3 98:24 100:12, 22 **societies** 7:16 39:3 48:11 society 12:9 40:20 53:25 56:15 104:3 sociological 103:15 sociopolitical 103:16 **sole** 19:21 **someone's** 33:13 96:23 sorry 8:10 9:21 11:3 23:12 24:18 26:21, 23 30:10 32:1, 2 39:23 48:5, 24 58:6 63:18 64:15, 24 68:25 69:5 70:15 79:25 82:4 84:1 85:10 92:5 93:17 96:13 97:5 101:13 **sort** 47:1 **sorts** 56:8 **sought** 26:7 space 26:19 27:12, 14 28:9, 12, 17 **spaces** 27:3 91:24 92:2, 8, 11 **Speak** 5:22 7:7 9:18 10:3 20:24 25:3 27:7 39:24 40:13 42:3 43:25 45:6, 10 46:9, 18 55:17, 18, 22

56:1, 5, 10, 13, 22, 23, 24 57:1, 3, 4, 15, 16, 19. 20 58:2 62:3 65:17, 25 66:9, 16 67:10 68:6, 13, 14 69:18 70:2, 20, 22 71:3 73:8, 15 75:23 76:7 78:23 79:10, 23 80:5, 6, 18, 25 83:17, 23 84:7 85:3, 21 86:11, 14, 20, 21 87:1, 2, 7, 7, 14, 17, 19 88:1 90:2, 9, 17, 24 93:10 94:12 95:5 96:13, 17 speakers 60:2 89:11, 12 90:1 93:25 94:13 speaking 56:11 63:9, 10 77:23 90:5, 6 speaks 20:21, 23 **special** 39:13 specific 7:17 18:7 39:17 42:6 68:4 69:10 75:18 77:23 81:8 85:10 88:12 specifically 15:4, 8 44:15 56:10 61:11 64:11 67:11 68:14 71:12 72:19 76:17 77:7 84:13 speculate 62:25 85:23 86:4 **spoke** 79:7 85:8 staff 13:12 27:14 48:15, 18 49:3 86:15 96:20 stakeholders 13:14 standard 78:2 **stands** 108:4 **start** 45:8 51:14 **starting** 105:5 **starts** 73:18 state 5:6 11:12 29:13 41:25 44:24 45:9 52:24 100:11 **stated** 76:6 92:24 94:4 105:14 107:16 **statement** 22:8 32:23 105:10 statements 23:5

27:17 35:22

states 6:8 19:11, 12 28:4 38:3 103:2 **static** 88:8 status 20:25 21:2, 5 32:17 33:4, 6 34:25 35:2, 17, 21 40:5 49:16 58:11, 21 62:10 stav 105:24 stenographically 110:11 **stop** 36:12 **Street** 1:20 strike 38:3 45:9 **strongly** 92:3 97:24 **stuck** 69:7 **STUDENT** 1:14 6:1 7:25 8:5, 7 10:19, 25 11:1, 14 12:3, 4, 7, 9, 11 13:13 17:11 19:13 21:13 32:15 37:21, 22 38:2, 9 40:5, 6, 12 50:7 51:7 53:7, 8 54:18 66:23 68:17 81:18 87:9 88:20 89:24 92:16 95:23 96:17, 22 98:21 101:24 102:1, **Students** 49:16, 21 50:2, 12 51:2, 16 53:4, 10, 23 55:24 57:11 67:5 68:10 92:2, 8 93:5 96:18, 20 97:20, 24 **student's** 67:7 97:22 **studies** 56:15 style 21:12 **subject** 104:25 submit 66:24 67:7, 18 68:10, 18, 21 87:3, 11, 11 submits 63:4 **submitted** 17:6 42:9 86:2 **submitting** 67:6 87:9 **sub-points** 85:18 subsection 28:3

subsequent 20:17 60:10 74:7 75:8 104:24 sufficient 85:3 suggest 30:25 **suicide** 54:20 **Suite** 1:20 **summer** 29:6 summertime 51:14 SUPERIOR 1:4 supplemental 42:14 73:21 75:12 **support** 85:1 89:7 90:24 supported 70:8 **supporting** 88:22, 25 **suppose** 27:21 supposed 29:25 **surprise** 49:20, 23 swearing 23:15 **swore** 5:15 **sworn** 1:18 systemic 22:12 23:4, 10 24:1, 4, 8, 14 25:1 28:20 29:1, 16, 22 30:16 32:8, 19 33:24 35:15 41:19 54:24 76:3 98:24 100:12, 21

<T> **tab** 28:2 40:1, 2 table 89:20 tables 88:4, 9, 9, 12 takes 29:11 talk 50:17 54:10, 23 talked 65:6 **talking** 14:1 15:5, 8 21:7 49:6 77:12, 13 80:12 97:5 taxed 99:20 **team** 16:1 17:5, 9 **tells** 68:9 **tend** 75:6 **term** 24:21 51:23 54:11 **terminate** 41:*13* 84:15 terms 8:23 40:17



67:25 79:4 testimony 110:9 **Thanks** 51:24 theoretical 95:7, 19 thing 102:12 things 22:14 34:24 36:1 72:1 77:21 80:2 81:4, 4, 11, 23, 24 100:13 **third** 6:7 thought 52:3 thoughts 20:21 **thousand** 39:19 **thousands** 103:*17* threshold 38:23 **thumb** 96:19 time 5:16 15:21 17:12 19:22, 22 21:6 25:14 43:18 52:5 59:23, 24 70:10 74:13, 18 75:6, 10 77:6 79:2 86:13 103:21 106:9 110:6, 7, 10 times 64:13 timetable 106:5 **timework** 75:10 title 8:3 21:12 today 5:21 61:9 73:15 104:16, 16, 21, 24 105:1, 15, 21 106:13, 16 107:6, 17, 21 108:4, 14, 16 109:3 **told** 30:18 66:19 **topics** 35:9 **Toronto** 1:20 107:14 training 27:14, 15 29:11. 15 30:15 trans 54:15, 17 transcribed 74:9 110:12 transcript 110:14 transferred 73:3 transitions 8:3 travel 69:23 treated 38:9 **true** 5:17 110:13 trust 48:17 **truth** 5:11

trying 30:25 34:19 61:1 63:12 65:10 67:23 75:10 93:19 95:9 turn 6:4 19:8 22:6 28:1 twenty 42:21 type 13:8 39:4 56:21 types 55:1 56:17

< U > **UIOT** 1:14 **UIT** 6:2, 6, 9, 15, 19, 24 7:2, 7, 24 8:7, 9, 15 10:21 11:1 13:15 18:14 89:7, 12 **unable** 65:22 **unborn** 34:12 35:3 **unclear** 60:2 61:10 80:2 uncomfortable 97:3, 10, 12, 16 uncovered 72:1 underneath 88:5 **understand** 5:22 6:1 7:1 8:15 11:21 17:2 20:10 23:4 27:22 31:20 35:25 41:11 47:15 52:23 54:10 67:9 68:3 80:11 83:7 85:15, 17 93:3, 6, 7, 19 94:11, 20 95:11 99:15 understanding 31:16 32:19 36:13 47:17 51:6 56:16 69:7 71:11 72:7 94:2 understandings 9:3 understood 30:11 66:25 undertaken 3:12 undertakings 3:7, 11 undetermined 106:24 University 107:14 unrecognized 37:21, 22 38:9 unsafe 55:8, 23 92:9, 18 93:5 96:18 97:7 unwilling 31:12

upholding 57:*12* **utilizing** 93:2 **utmost** 96:2*1* **UTMSU** 107:*1*

< V > vacancy 43:19 vague 89:21 value 29:10 values 57:5 89:24 104:5 **variety** 62:17 various 7:2 88:18 89:14 **varying** 100:*1* venue 98:14 view 29:1, 15 33:23 35:2, 14 36:3 43:4 55:16, 19, 22 82:10 83:23, 24 84:7 91:23 92:1, 7, 18 94:13, 23 98:24 100:8 **viewed** 41:13 viewing 99:5 views 25:2 30:6 35:9, 10, 13, 18 47:14, 19 48:21, 25 49:3, 25 53:1 90:5 91:3, 8, 20 93:4, 21 94:1 98:23 99:2, 24 100:2 **violate** 94:14 **violated** 98:18 99:4 violates 40:14 98:9, 16 **violating** 10:2 41:6 **violation** 9:6, 11, 16 41:13 104:10 **violence** 54:16 **violent** 54:20 55:13 56:6, 9, 25 **visiting** 98:15 volunteers 27:14 **VP** 8:5, 7

<W> wanted 8:22 9:2 44:10 wants 87:2 warning 14:9

ways 13:8 31:13 82:24 Weak 5:22 7:8 9:18 10:3 20:24 25:3 39:24 40:13 42:4 55:17, 18, 23 56:2, 6, 10, 23 57:1, 4, 15, 16 58:2 65:17 66:1, 10 67:10 68:6, 13, 14 69:18 70:2, 20, 23 71:3 73:8. 16 76:7 79:11, 23 80:25 86:11, 14, 20, 21 87:1, 2, 7, 14, 19 90:17, 24 93:10 94:12 95:5 96:13 Weak's 43:25 45:7, 11 46:19 57:20 75:23 80:5, 6, 18 83:17, 23 84:7 85:4, website 46:6, 8 47:25 West 1:20 Whatever's 70:25 **William** 73:18 willing 50:5 80:12 wipes 89:5 wish 91:14 wishes 6:22 **WITNESS** 3:3 9:20 25:13 27:24 34:1 45:1 50:21 52:2, 7 58:6 63:14 64:20 65:13 69:3, 6 70:13 75:17, 19 78:9 94:4 95:21 96:5 97:12 105:11, 24 106:3, 10, 11 107:17, 25 110:7, 9 woman 41:12 73:18 84:24 woman's 41:25 83:24, 24 84:9, 21 women 40:18, 22 41:1 women's 25:23 41:22 wondered 53:1 won't 63:14 98:17 word 16:12 27:24



work 14:14 22:4, 10

25:22 worker 26:2, 4 workshop 29:8 30:21, 23 31:3 worried 56:5 worry 56:8 write 74:8 written 17:10 wrong 8:4 38:4

< Y > **Yeah** 5:8 8:13 12:4, *23* 13:2*1* 16:11 17:8 20:16 26:16, 17 32:2 39:11 40:2 42:18 44:21 51:3 64:20 69:3, 24 74:23 75:17 76:20 79:17 84:4 88:24 93:18 104:11 year 38:19, 24 39:9 43:8 51:5, 15, 20 54:17 64:9 74:13 79:6 years 29:5 78:24 103:17, 22 **yesterday** 16:8 31:2 73:21 75:20 104:23

<Z> zoom 80:17 zooming 80:22

